THE MANIFOLD WISEDOME OF GOD

In the divers dispensation of Grace by Iesus Christ.

Inthe Sold New Testament.

In the Covenant of Faith.

Their Agreement and Difference.

By G. Walker, B. D. Paffor of Saint Iohn the Evangelift in Watlingstreet.

LONDON,
Printed by R.H. for Iobn Bartlet, and are to
be fold at the Signe of the Gilt Cup,
necre S. Anstins Gate in Pauls
Church-yard. 1641.

THE INDOUGET OF Gos in the diversidily mission of Grace by lefas Chrift had SOM & Ichament,



To all that love the Lord I esus Christ, cipecially, the godly and religious professors of the truefaithin and about the Citie of London, grace and peace be multiplied.



Eloved in the
Lord, as your
Christian love
and charity hath
abounded towards mee in my

bonds: So Christian affection bindes me to returne to you some

A

tokens and testimonies of thankfulnesse. When I was sicke and shut up so fast in close prison, that no liberty to visit me, nor any accesse unto me for my comforts could by any importunity, prayers, or petitions be obtained: then, next under God (whose holy Word the sacred Scriptures in the Originall tongues were allowed me for my solace and sole companions day and night) your faithfull and fervent prayers which you powred out to God in my behalfe, were my chiefest outward help: & thevertue & power of them piercing through the double doores, lockes and bolts, through which no keyes of golder alver could make may or enterance, did most sensibly reach unto me, and I had a lively feeling, and sweet fruition of the benefit and comfort of them. Also after the loosening ef my strait bands, and imprisonment; when, for the preferving of

my life and recovery of health, I had obtained the favour to be only confined to the honse of my brother, where my friends might vifit me; divers of you did most charitably minister unto my necessities, and did ease me of the clog of cares for neceffaries of this life, which otherwife would have pressed me downe, as an unsupportable burden, and consumed me, being stript of my maintenance and meanes of liveli hood, and the profits of my benefice, which were sequestred and given to others. This your Christian charity I do acknowledge with all thankfulnesse, and do mention in my dayly prayers and thanksgiving to God; firmely beleeving and perswading my selfe, that he will aboundantly reward your worke of love, and charity, who hath promised that who seever shall give to drinke unto one of his litle ones which belong to Christ a cup of cold water only, verily rily he shall in no wise lose his reward, Mat. 10.42. Neither have I in this time of my restraint negleited to use all diligence, and to doe my best endeavour (you also helping together by prayer for me) that your charity bestowed on me might bring forth some manifest fruits to your selves and others; and that by meanes thereof thanks and praise may be given by many to God on our behalfe.

2 Cor.1.11

For being freed by your bounty from worldly cares, I gave my self wholly to care for the things of God, and to spend my whole study and paines in some things which might be profitable to the people of God, especially in revising papers, and making fit for the presse, and for publike use, divers of my labours and workes which they whose judgment I doe much reverence, have perswaded me to be more prositable; and many of my most judicious hearers

rers have importuned me to publish for the commo benefit of many. The first, (in the communicating whereof I have yeelded to their de-(ire) is this (mall treatife which is as a praface to the rest, and indeed it was first delivered in some few sermons, as a praface to the exposi tion of the Gospel of Saint Iohn in the yeare 1616. It justly challen. geth the first place, because the first reseiving of men into the Church of God to be visible members of Christ, is by their baptisme, which is the Sacrament of initiation, and their entring into covenant with God in Christ; which Covenant is bere in this treatife plainly described, and the agreement and difference shewed betweene it, and the old Covenant of workes, as also between the old and new Testament, and betweene the Law and the Gospel.

The next in order is, the instru-Etion

ction of Christians in the Doctrine of Christ, which in another treatise is described, and set forth by the matter, forme, fruit, affect, end, use, and ground of it. First delivered in divers sermons upon Heb. 6. v. 11, 12, 13, 14. and now made and formed into a Treatise sit to be published for the benefit of Gods Church, at the importunity, and request of divers well affected bearers.

The third is a treatife of God, who is the proper subject of the divine art of Theology, or sacred Divinity, in which the eternall and only true God is described, and set forth at large, out of the words of Moses, Deu. 6.4. in the unity of his essence, and all his attributes, and essentiall properties, and in the sacred Trinity of persons; all fully and clearly proved by plaine Testimonies and demonstrations out of the sacred canonicall Scriptures.

The

The fourth is the doctrine of Gods internall operations, and eternall works, to wet his eternall counsells, purposes, and decrees, concerning the last and utmost end of all reasonable creatures. Men and Angels, and concerning the way and means by which they are brought to their last end, some to eternall life and blessednesse, and some to eternall damation, wo and misery.

The fifth is the doctrine of Gods externall works, and outward operations; which are first generally laid open and proved out of severall texts of holy scriptures, and afterwards divided into severall heads. The first is the great worke of creation, fully and plainly described out of the first and second Chap. of the booke of Genesis. To which is joyned a treatise of Gods actuall providence by which he doth order and dispose all things created, and the actions and motions of them to

A 4

his

his owne glory, and the eternall salvation and blessednesse of his elect.

The fixth is the fall and corruption of mankind, with all the evills which thereby entred into the world; fully and plainly described

out of Gen. cap. 3.

The seventh is the institution of the Sabbath on the seventh day of the world, on which day Christ was promised: and by the promise of Christ which was the greatest blessing given and revealed to the fathers in the old testament, that day came to be the most bleffed day of the weeke, and was sanctified by God to be the weekly Sabbath, un. till by the full exhibition of Christ a perfect redeemer in his resurrec. tion on the first day of the weeke, that first day became a more bleffed day, and by Christ the Lord of the Sabbath was sanctified, and had the honour of the weekly Sabbath transferred unto it, and is to be ob-Gerved

served of Christians for their holy day of rest, untill they come to the eternall rest in heaven.

These severall Treatises I have in this time of my restraint made fit for thepre fe, or the publike view of the world, and here I offer them up to God, as a sacrifice of thanksgiving for his bounty extended to me, by you his instruments, by whose charity my necessities have beene supplied, the burthen of worldin care removed from my [boulders, and I have beene enabled and encouraged to performe these workes with cheerfulnesse. If with you, (to whom I tender them as testimonies of my love, and tokens of my thankfull heart) they find acceptation, and prove profitabe to the Church and people of God, I shall thinke my vowes performed, my desires in some good measure obtained, and the best recompence of my paines which I expest

pect and feek in this world, received; And with strength, courage, alacrity, and cheerfulnesse shall proceed in the opening, and unfolding of the rest of Gods great works of wisdome, power, goodnesse and mercy, which concerne the restanration of mankind corrupted; by which the elect are gathered unto God in Christ, lifted up out of their wofull wretched and miserable condition to the state of grace inthis life, and in the end exalted to the blessed state of glory. As namely theworks which belong to redemption, which God hath wrought only by Iesus Christ; And the workes which belong to the application of redemption, which God worketh in his elect by the holy Ghost shed on them aboundantly through Iesus Christ in their new birth, and spirituall regeneration; as their effeetual vocation, adoption, justification, sanctification, and glorification.

my course of which I have in my course of preaching, opened and unfolded out of severall texts of holy Scripture, as occasion hath heretofore been offered; and if God be pleased to continue life, health, and liberty, they may be continued into severall treatises in that order and method which I have in the first beginning, and enterance into the body of sucred divinity propounded, in the treatise of God, Deut. 6.4,

For the accomplishing of these workes by the good will and pleasure of God, I do in the words of the Apostle exhort and be seech you, to continue in prayer, and to watch in the same with thanks giving, praying alwayes with all prayer and supplication in the spirit, and watching thereunto with all perseverance, or supplication for all Saints, withall praying for us his Ministers, that God would open unto

Ephel 6 18 Col.4.2

A&.20, 32

open our monthes boldly to speake as we ought, and to make knowne the mistery of the Cospel. And now, Brethren, I commend you to God, and to the word of his grace, which is able to build you up, and to give you an inheritance, among all them which are sanctified.

George Walker.



The Contents of the severall Chapters.

Chap. 1.

The great profit and benefit which doth arife from the knowledge of the true difference betweene the Old and New Testament; the Covenant of Workes, and the Covenant of Grace; the Law and the Gospell. page 1. Chap. 2.

What the Word Testament signifieth, and what is the nature of a Testament. That the Scriptures both of the Old and New Testament are called Testaments onely inrespect of Christ, who by his death ratisfied them, and not in respect of God the Father, who could not die to make them of force. The agree-

ment and difference betweene the Old and New Testament is plainly shewed.

Chap. 3.

The doctrine of the former Chapter is applied by way of use, to consute five differences which the Schoolemen have made, and three differences which the Iesuites have added to them, betweene the Old and New Testament, and the vanity of them is therby discovered, and a two-folduse is moreover shewed. 21 Chap. 4.

What the Word Covenant signifieth, what is the Nature of a Covenant in general.

Chap. 5:

The severall kindes of Covenants betracene God and men. The Covenant of Nature is described. The Covenant of Grace is unfolded, and the blessings therein promised are rehearsed; That this Covenant is a Covenant of free grace is plainely proved. The division of it into the Old and New Covenant.

Chap.

The Contents.

Chap. 6.

The Covenant of Grace first madewith Adam after his full. The liberty thereby given to man, proveth that we gain more by Christ thenwe lest in Adam. Of the renuing of it with Noah. The form of renning it with Abraham, and revealing it more plainly by 7 things. Of there-nuing of it with Ifrael at mount Sinah andby Moses. That it is called the Old Covenant in respect of the New Covenant in the Gospell. That it is mixt of the Covenant of Workes, and of the Covenant of Grace. The reasons why God in making it did renue the Covenant of Workes, and mingle it with the Covenant of Grace made with man in Christ after bis fall. 58

Chap. 7.

Of the New Covenant of Grace, as it is made most plainely in the Gospell, and in the New Testament. The reasons why it is called the New Covenant.

Chap

The Contents.

Chap. 8.

The Method and Order propounded, which is to be followed in shewing how the New and Old Covenants of Grace doe agree and differ. 86

Chap. 9.

The threefold agreement between the Covenant of Nature, which is called the first Covenant, and the Covenant of Grace, which is called the second Covenant.

Chap. 10.

The fixe notable things in which the Covenant of Nature doth differ from the Covenant of Grace.

90

Chap. 11.

The profitable and holy use which may be made of the doctrine concerning those differences betweene the Covenant of Nature and the Covenant of Grace.

Chap. 12.

The fixfold agreement betweene the Covenant of Grace, as it was revealed to the Fathers of the Old Testament, and the same renued and and more fully explained in the Gospell. 103

Chap. 13.

The sevenfold difference betweene the Covenant of Grace, as it was made with the Fathers, and the Covenant as it was made in the Goffell.

Chap. 14.

Atmofold use is made of the dostrine in the two former Chapters. 122

Chap. 13.
The agreement betweene the pure and plaine Covenant of Grace in the Gospell, and the mixt Covenant which God made with Israel on mount Horeb, by the ministery of Moses, which consisted parily of the Covenant of Workes, and parily of the Covenant of Gree. 127

Chap. 16.

The severall differences betweene the pure and mixt Covenant. 132

Chap. 17.

The Use of the Doctrine is shemed for the discovering of Gods singular providence in preparing meanes of

The Contents, grace fit for the severall Ages of she World. 154 Chap. 18. The fignification of thewards, Law and Gespell. How they agree and differ, being takenin their severall senses. The Use of the Destrine. CHAP.

Iuly 30. 1640. Imprimatur, The. Wykes.

Faults escaped.

PAg. 1. line 4. for the, read their, p. 7. 1. 2. for repentance, read regeneration, pag. 12. 1. 6. read 5. for 19. and line 22. for New, read Old, page 13. line 13. read 2 for the, page 37. line 14 blot out, ye, pag. 39, last line blot out of it, page 47. line 2 for order read frame, and line 14. after the word Greeke, put in word fraction, and line 15. after the word New put in, and the Septuagines in the Old. page 51 line 8. read tree of Knowledge page 56 line 4. read sin his owne person, page 77. line 19. put out, all. page 90.1.19. put in, the, page 103. ly read unprofitable fervants, for unprofitable and Christs, read Christs bloods.



CHAP. I.

A briefe Treatife concerning the agreement and
difference betweene the Old
and New Testament; the
first Covenant betweene God
and Man, in Innocency, which
is the old Covenant of Works:
and the New Covenant made
with Mankinde, in Christ,
which is called the Covenant
of free Grace; also betweene
the Law and the Gospell.

T is an Ancient custome which hath beene for many Ages in use among the learned before the entrance into the large Exposition of the Gospell of Christ in the New Testament, to premise and

lay downe by way of preparation, the nature, difference, and agreement between the Old and New Testament, the Covenant of Workes, and the Covenane of Grace, the Law and the Gospell, the Prophets and the Brangelists. And furely if wee doe rightly consider the end and use of this practise, and the profit and benefit which may arise from the knowledge of the nature of these beforehand, and of the true difference and agreement betweene them, we cannot but judge those learned men worthy of imitation; and that it will be profitable for us to walke in the same steps when like occasion is offered. For the knowledge of the true difference of the Old and New Testament, the Covenant of Workes, and the Covenant of Grace, the Lawand the Gospel, will not only giveus greatlight, for the right understanding of divers particular speeches used

in the New Testament by the Evangelists and Apostles, but also may keepe us from many dangerous errours, and enable us to answer the Objections of the Adversaries which they make out of the words of the Apostles and Prophets, wrongfully wrested and misconstrued according to their owne foolish imaginations. As for example, sometimes the Apostles exhort us to observe the things which by Tradition have beene delivered unto us, and command to observe the good orders and Ordinances established Now a man not Churches. knowing the difference betweene the Old and New Testament, the Law and the Gospel, when hee heares fuch speeches, may imagine that in those words he is injoyned to observe the Traditions and Ordinances of Moses, and so may with the seduced Galatians fall into a great errour. So in some places B 2

of the Apostles we read, That they who are borne of God fin not, That they who sin are of the Devill, That they who finne wilfully after that they have received the knowledge of the truth, can have no facrifice for their sinne, And that he who beleeveth not is condem-

ned already.

These things when a man heares or reades, who is igno rant of the difference betweene the Law and the Gospell; hee may imagine with our new up start Heretiques, That every finne which a man willingly commits, doth provehim to be a childe of the Devill, destitute of all grace: And that when men are once called and justified, they cannot willingly sinne any more. And many fuch errours he may runne into: but if he understandeth that sinne in those places signifieth sinne against the Evangelicall Law, the two Commandements of the Gofpell,

pell which commands us to beleeve and repent, and not every sinne against any Commandement of the Law, hee cannot bee deceived. For sinne against the Gospell, is when a man being before called to beleeve and profeile the Gospell, and having received the Commandements thereof, which injoyne repentance of all finne, and beleefe in this Tefus Christ whom the Gospell preacheth, doth afterwards rebell against these two Precepts, that is, falls into infidelity and impenitency, which is wiltull Apostacy. Now these sinnes none can commit who is borne of God, or hath any true faving grace in him; and if wee thus understand sinne, wee shall not be deceived. So likewise the Evangelists and Apostles do tell us, that if we doe fuch and fuch good workes we are righteous, if wee call on the Name of the Lord wee shall be faved; and our Saviour saith, that he will pronounce

pronounce them the bleffed of. his Father, and will fay to them, Come, inherit the Kingdome: for yee fedde mee when I was bungry, and visited mee in prison: In that yee did these things to my little ones. And againe, Many sins are forgiven ber for shee loved much. If wee know not the difference betweene the Law and the Gofpell, we may by these speeches be moved to thinke that men are instified and saved by their workes, and may merit heaven by good deeds, as the Iewes and Papists doe beleeve. But if wee know, that by good deeds and righteous workes, the Evangelists and Apostles doe commonly meane not simple workes of obedience to the Law, but works done by a true faving and justifying faith, he cannot be deceived. For such workes have thefe two prerogatives above all others. First, in that they are fruits of a justifying faith, which can

can never faile, and doe proceed from the spirit of repentance, which makes us one with Christ, sonnes of God in him, and abides in us, as an immortall feed, they are infallible tokens of our justification, and do assure unto us the Crowne of glory which Christ hath purchased for us, and the kingdome of heaven which is the inheritance of fons. And therefore we may truely fay, that he which doth fuch workes is righteous, and shall be saved, and injoy all bleffednesse, not meaning that they make him righteous or merit Heaven; but that they are the evidences of his right to heaven. And the more they are, and the greater and more excellent, the more they testifie a mans union and communion with Christ by a lively faith, and give more affurance of a greater reward. Secondly, being the workes of a man that is justified by faith, and hath perfect communion

munion of Christs righteousneffe, they have all their spots and staines cleanfed and covered with the robe of Christs righteousnelle, and all their detells thereby supplyed to the full, and fo they are perfect righteous workes, as well as the doer of them is a perfect righteous man, nor in themselves, but by vertue of Christ his obedience, which is communicated and imputed to the worker of them, and in him to them also. They are righteous, and are so called, not actually or effectually, but passively; that is not for making the doer of them righteous, but by the doers receiving of Christs righreoufnelle by that faith whereof they are fruits; which righteousnesse doth supply all their defects, and makes them righteous, not by reason of a naturall change in themselves, or alteration of their nature, but by spirituall communion which they have of it, together with the doers

doers of them. Thus if we understand these words in the Evangelicall sense, we cannot bee deceived, but may know the truth, and how to answer all gainefayers. I could bring many Instances of this nature, but these are sufficient to shew, that before wee can sufficiently expound & rightly understand the Gospell, it is meet that we should know, and be able to shew the nature, and also the agreement and difference betweene Law and the Gospell, and betweene the Old and New Testament. Wherefore before I come to the particular expounding of the Gospell of Saint John, which I have undertaken, I will follow the steps of the learned of formertimes, and will endevour to shew briefely the agreement and difference betweene the Old and New Testament, betweene the Old Covenant of Workes, and the New Covenant of Grace. and betweeen the Law & the Go-

B 5 Spell

spell in the first place, And in so doing I will labour to reform some things which they have done before me, and to handle this point a little more distinctly. For whereas the most part of them doe confusedly compare the Law and the Gospel together without distinction of the words: and while they labour to make the Gospell more glorious by all meanes, they doe put too great a difference betweene it and the Law, which hath beene a cause of much errour to many, and even of vilifying and contemning the Old Testament and the Law; My desire and purpose is, first to thew the feverall acceptations and the true sense and meaning of the words; and then to declare the true agreement and difference, and to make those differences which are observed by others to agree together fo far as truth will fuffer, and to cut off all vaine and needleffe differenccs.

rences. This doing, I hope I shall reserve to each their due reverence and respect; God shall have his glory by both the Law and Gospell; Your hearts shall be enabled with love of both, and you better enabled to understand the true meaning of the Gospell, and to feele the power thereof in your soules.

CHAP. II.

First for the word Testament, it doth signifie the last Will of a man which he makes before his death, and leaves behinde him either in word or writing, testified by seales and witnesses; By vertue of which Will hee doth dispose his lands and possessions which he hath purchasted, and all his goods which he hath gathered in his life time, and doth bequeath them as hee thinselfe will, and to whom hee thinkes sit, either freely or with

condition, to have and hold them after his death, and not before; This is the true and proper meaning of the Word, and thus it is used by the Apostle, Hebr. 19. 16. And because the Apostle there cals the Covenant Christs Testament, and also elsewhere in his Epistles wherefoever hee doth speake of the Old and New Covenant, that is, of the Covenant of the Law and of the Gospell, doth use the Greeke word Andianeven the fame which there he wieth for the last Will and Testament of a Testator, whereupon it comes to patie, that the Bookes of the Law and the Prophets, before Christ, and the Covenant in them are called the New Testament, and that very fitly in some respect, I meane in sespect of Christ the Mediator, For the truth is, that the Covenant of Grace more obscurely revealed to the Fathers in the writings of the Law and Prophers.

phets, and more plainely in the Gospelland writings of the Apostles, was never in force, neither could be ratified but by the death of Christ. It was before his comming fealed by his Blood in Types and Figures; and at his Death in his Flesh it was fully sealed and ratified by his very Blood it felfe actually, and indeed fhed for our finnes, and in this respect it may be fitly called the Testament. Because as a Testament is not inforce till the Testator be dead, and where a Testament is, there the death of the Testator must come beeween to ratifie it; So it is with the Covenant of Grace, and the promises therein made unto us. Christ hath performed and purchased all things necessary for us, & doth freely give to us himfelf, his righteoutness, and all his treasures, as a man gives his Lands and Goods in his last Will, but they cannot be of force to bring us to heaven, till

his'death come betweene as a fatisfaction for fin also; It is as necessary that Iustice should be satisfied for sinne by his Death, as righteousnelle of life performed, and salvation purchased by him for us. Secondly, as a man doth scale his Testament when hee feeth or imagineth that his death is at hand; So Christ at his last Supper, by inftituting the Sacrament of his Body and Blood, and by the outward Signes and Seales therein contained, did feale to his Church the Covenant of Grace. Thus in respect of CHRIST the Mediatour, God and Man, the Covenant of Grace, and the writings, Old and New, wherein it is contained, are called Testaments. But in-respect of God the Father, and in respect of God, confidered fimply, or as the Maker of the Covenant with man, and the party betweene whom and man the Covenant ismade; the Covenant and the Wri-

Writing, Old and New, wherein it is comprehended, can in no case be called a Testament, because a Testament is of no force without the Testarors death. But God the Father never dyed, nor can die, neither God limply considered, nor God the Maker of the Covenant with Man, and the other party in it weh is opposed to Man. Only Christ dyed as hee was Mediatour, God and Man, and as he was made a partner with Man, and stood on his side in the Covenant, and as he is the Testator, and free giver of his Word in the Old and New Testament, and of his graces and giftstherein promised; sothey are called Testaments, and in no other respect at all.

From the word Testament thus expounded, wee may easily collect and gather what is the nature of a Testament, and both the agreement, and the true and maine difference betweene the Old and New Testament, and

the

the Writings contained in both.

First, we see that they both agree in this, that they are the Writings and Instruments of one and the same Christ, and his last Will, in which, and by which hee doth give himselfe to his Church withall his righteousnetse and obedience, and all the bleffings which thereupon depend, and they are both sealed by his Blood, and ratified by his death. This is manifest by the exposition of the word before laid downe, wherein is shewed, that both the Old and New Writings of the Covenant are called by the name of Testaments, only in respect of Christ the Mediatour, and asthey are fealed by his Blood, and ratified by his Death, and he is the Testator in them as hee is Mediatour. If either of them bee not sealed, ratified and proceed from him as Mediator, it is no Teftament at all; to call it a Testament,

stament, is to say that Christ is the Testatour, and his Death comes betweene to make it of force; And to say that he is not the Testatour, or that it is not ratified by his Death, is to fay, it is no Testament. But all Christians grant that both the Old and New Writings of the Covenant are Testaments. Therefore it is manifest even by their names that Christis Testator in both. that the Legacies given are his gifts, even himselfe and all his treasures, and inheritance, that his Blood healeth, and his Death ratifieth both, and thus in sub. stance they agree; being of one Christ, of the same things, both confirmed by one Death, they must needes bee one, confirme one another, and run one way; if they go divers waies, they must needs destroy one another; if they destroy not one another, it is plain they go both oneway, and do confirme and illustrate each the other, which

wee see evidently. The difference then betweene them, is onely in circumstance, and in

quality, not in fubstance.

First, the Old Testament did bequeath unto the Fathers, righteousnelle of life, expiation of sinne, adoption of sonnes, and eternall salvation, and happiness in, and through Christ the Mediatour promised, being not yet come in the flesh, but onely feen a farre off, and apprehended by faith, as the Apostle sheweth, Hebr. 11. But the New Testament gives and bequeathes all these unto us, in, and through Christ, being already come in the flesh, and having actually performed all things for us.

Secondly, The Old Testament was more darke and obscure, not opened but to sew, till the Testators death, and did not beget ordinarily so much knowledge and faith as the New doth, and therefore it was a weaker meanes of Grace, and did convert but few unto Christ. But the New is so plaine, that it may beget knowledge in children, and therefore by it the Spirit works more powerfully.

Thirdly, The Old Testament was sealed and ratisfied typically by the Blood and Death of Christ, and by types of them to come. The New is ratisfied by his Death in very deed, and in it selfe, and to us it is sealed in the Sacrament of the Lords Supper by tokens and remembrances of his death already past and fulfilled.

Fourthly, the Old Testament, Christ the Eternall Word in his Godhead spake to the Fathers, and published by Moses and the Prophets: But the New Testament hee published by himselfe, immediately as hee was Godincarnate, and appeared in our Nature, and by his Apostles and Evangelists, taught by his owne mouth, as appeares, Hebr. 1 2.

Fiftly,

Fiftly, the Old Testament, in respect of the outward forme and manner of sealing and signifying was temporary, and changeable, and therefore the types are ceased, and onely the substance remaines firme: But the New is unchangeable, and the seales thereof are commemorative, and shall shew the Lords Death untill his comming againe.

CHAP. III.

These and such like differences, the former Exposition of the word Testament may easily admit. For both the Old and the New may be Testaments of Christ, that is, conveyances, and bequeathings of all his graces and blessings, and may both bee ratissed by his Death, and yet differ in these and such like respects. But as for divers other differences weh many learned

learned men have fet down, they are utterly overthrowne by the expolition of the word Testa. ment, and by the true agreement which from thence I have before gathered.

This therefore shall be the first use which I will make of these instructions, even to overthrow some other differences which the Schoolmen have devised betweene the Old and New Testament. One is, that the Old Testament is temporary and murable; The New eternall and unchangeable. cannot stand, for if the Old Testament be a Testament, it must needs bee the Testament of Christ the Mediatour, & if it was ever in force, it was ratified by the Death of him the Testator (as is proved before.) But if it was made of force by the Death of Christ, how can it be changeable, furely in no case, except Christs Death be made voide and of no force, wherefore the truth

truth is, that though the Old Testament be in quality and circumstance changeable, and be changed in respect of the outwardforme and manner of fealing it unto men; and whereas before it was darke and obscure, it is now become bright and cleere by the comming Christ, and the rising up of the Sunne of Righteousnetle, and by the fulfilling of the Promises, and the Doctrine of the Gospell in the New Testament. Yet it is not changed in substance, it loseth not the effence& being of a Testament, but is still Christ's Instrument by which he doth give and bequeath all his treasures and benefits unto us, as well as by the New; Yea, it is all one with the New in substance; it is the New folded up; and the New is the Old opened and unfolded. Those Legacies which Christ gave to the Fathers by the Old, are not made void, but are rather perfe-Acd

cted by the New. And that which the Old gave by promife, the New giveth by actuall performance. The Types which are in themselves abolished, doe stand firme for ever in the things by them signified, which are the substance of them; and therefore the Ceremonies of the Old Testament, are truely called Ordinances of Eternity, Exect 12.14. and in divers other places.

Thus we see the vanity of this first difference. Another difference which they make, is, That the New Testament was sealed with the Blood of Christ; the Old with the Blood of Bullocks, Goats, and other sacrifices. This also cannot stand with the former Doctrine; for if the Old Testament be Christs Testament, and hath been of force at any time; it was of force by vertue of Christs Death comming betweene (for otherwise no Testament is in force, but by

the

the death of the Testator.) And foir is scaled by Christs Blood. Now it is manifest by the former Doctrine, that it is the Testament of Christ, and hath been in force to the Fathers (as all true Christians confesse), and therefore it was fealed, not by the blood of Bullocks onely, but alfoby Christs Blood, and so this difference is not true. But because the words of the Apo-Alesceme to justifie it, Hebr.g. let me shew how farre it may be admitted, and wherein it is faulty. First, it is certaine that the Old Testament was outwardly scaled at the first, and so long as it flood alone in forceby the blood of Bullocks and other Sacrifices onely: But inwardly by the Blood of Christ onely, which was signified and reprefented in the blood of Sacrifices, And at length when Christ came, and by the plaine Do-Arine of the Gospell had explained it, then it was together with

with the New, fealed outwardly by Christs Blood shed unto death on the croffe. But the New was at the first outwardly scaled by the Blood of Christ, and is now ever since daily to us outwardly sealed by the Sacraments, and inwardly by Chrifts Blood therein signified: But to say that the Old Testament was not at all, nor at any time sealed with Christs Blood, but onely by the blood of Bullocks and Sacrifices, and that the New Testament onely was sealed with Christs Blood, is to make a false difference. For verily the Old Testament being nothing else but the New folded up, and the New the Old opened to all; the sealing of the New by Christs Bloed, was the sealing of the Old alfo: yea, as our Sacraments, and the outward Signes of Bread and Wineare true outward Signes confecrated to fignifie Christs Death past; so were the Sacrifices of the Law and the Old Testament true Signes, consecrated to fignific Christs Death to come; and as ours Seale the New Testament, so did they Seale the Old.

As with our right outward Sealing, there goeth the inward Sealing of Christs Blood; so also with those outward Seales rightly understood, and used. And therefore as it is absurd to say that the New Testament is sealed onely by Bread and Wine, and Water, when we administer and receive the Sacraments, because wee use no other outward Signes; so it is absurd to say of their Sacrifices, that in them there is no Sealing, but by blood of Beasts sacrificed; and thus wee see the vanity of this difference also.

The third difference which the Schoolemen make, and which onely the Papifts doe hold, is, that the Old Testament did onely promise eternal blessings, and the eternal tinheritance, and did foreshew them in Types, as in the blood of Sacrifices, Christs Blood, in the promised Land, the inheritance of Heaven, and such like: but it did not give them till Christs Tithe Testator was dead. But the New Testament doth promise, and also give and exhibit

bit the things promifed. This difference is very falle and impious, and is easily confuted by the former Do-Arine, and by the whole Scriptures. For that which onely promifeth, and giveth not, is not a Testament, it is never in force, neither ratified at allafor being in force by the Testarors Death, it must needes give as well as promise. If the Teltators Death never come betweene, then it is no Testament. But the Old is a Testament, and was in force, and did give, and doth give Grace, as well as promife it.

This the whole Scriptures thew; for the Legacies promifed and given in Christs Will, are Himselfe, with all his benefits which doe accompany

him.

First, his Conception and Birth, most holy without spot, to sanctific our conception in sinne, and our uncleane birth.

Secondly, His perfect righteoufmesse of life, to make beleevers righteous.

Thirdly, His Death and Sufferings,

to ransome them from eternall death, and Hell, by satisfying for their sinner.

Fourthly, His Spirit, with all faving Graces, as Faith, and fuch like, by which they come to have Communion with him of his Son-ship, inheritance, righteous nesse, and right to all blessings, Temporall, and Spirituall.

Now though Christ was onely promised in the Old Testament, that he should come, and obey, and suffer for mans redemption; but was not actually exhibited, nor did obey and fuffer till the dayes of the New Testament: yethis Manhood, Birth, Obedience, and Death, were then as effectuall to fave the faithfull, as now they are; And in that respect hee is called the Lambe flaine from the beginning of the world. Also by the Words of promise in the Old Testament, Christ communicated & gave his Spirit to Adam, Noah, Abraham, David, and all the faithfull in the Old Testament, weh Spirit wrought in them Faith and perfect Communion

nion with Christ, of his person so farre, as to make them fonnes and heires of God, of his Death for remifsion of their finnes; of his righteoulnelle for their justification, and of all saving Graces needfull to Salvation. This appeares by Enoches translation into glory, that hee might not see death, and by Eliahs taking up into Heaven, by vertue of Christs Refurrection and Ascention, who is the first fruites from the beginning: Also by that which is faid of Abraham, that hee by beleeving came to bee counted righteous: And by that which David faith to himselfe, That God is his portion, Pfal. 16.and with him hee had all things to make him bleffed. Therefore this difference is a blasphemous and wicked fiction, excluding the Church of the Old Testament from Heaven, and all fruicion of Christs benefits, and from all laving graces, as Regeneration, Remission of sinnes, Justification, and Redemption, which are the bleffings promised and given in the Old Tetament.

 C_3

The

The fourth difference is, that the New Testament is the end of the Old, and the Old is but a meanes to obraine the New. This is confuted, first by the Doctrine before; fer they which are both in substance. one, and the same Testament cannot the one be the end of the other. But so are these two, as I have before thewed:and it is most manifest by the agreement betweene them, that as the Old confirmes the New and ferves to movemen to réceive it; So the New being imbraced, ferves to give light to the Old that men may fee into the true meaning of it, and rightly understand it; and so here is no difference, in this respect they are both alike.

Secondly, the Scripture is plame, (even in the places which they cite to prove this difference, to wit, Rom. 30. 4. and Gal. 3.24. and teacheth plainly that Christ is the end of both, and both serve joyntly for this one and commo end, to bring men to Christ, and to perfect communion with him: and therefore the New is no otherwise the end of the Old, than the

the Old is the end of the New, and so this difference is false and erronious.

The fift difference is, that the Old Testament was given onely to the naturall Ifraelites; the New to all the world: which is here by the former Doctrine proved falle. For if the Old Testament is the Will of Chrift, as Mediatour, who gave himfelfe for all the Nations of the world. and is one in Substance with the New, and giveth the fame Legacies, as is before shewed, furely they were both given to all Nations, even the Old as well as the New, eife what shall we say of lob, and the godly, and the faithfull of his Countrey and Age, mentioned in his Booke, who had the promises sealed with bloody facrifices, and yet were not of the Na. tion of Ifrael? Onely here is the dif ference: the naturall Ifraelites had the keeping of the Old Testament, and the Oracles of God committed to them for a time, to wit, from Mofes untill Christ: And yet even then is was lawfull for them to teach the Word

Word, and make knowne the promises to strangers of all Nations, and to convert them, and to receive them into the Church; And many were converted, and joyned themselves to to the God of Ifrael: As Rahab of Iericho, a Canaan itelfe, Ruth of Moab, Ebedmelech of Ethiopia, and divers others. But the New Testament is committed to no speciall people, but published to all the world and among all Nations: So now is the Old alfo, and ferves ever fince Christ, for the instruction of all Nations of the Gentiles. Therefore this difference is false. To these the Iefuites have added three differences more, which are fo grotle, and abominable, that they need no confutarion. One is, that the New Testament went before the Old, because the promises of Christ went before the giving of the Law, 430 yeeres. Heere they shew much ignorance; for the Old Testament consists of the promises, as well as of the Law, and the promises are the chiefe things in it; fo that the promises and it go together, and

and they are no more before it, then it is before it selse. If they will perversly by the Old Testament understand onely the Law of Moses, having no respect to the promise of Christ, they are in a grolle errour. For that can in no wise be called the Testament of Christ, it hath nothing to do with the Mediator, he doth not by it bequeath any thing to his Church.

Another difference is, that the Old Testament, did not quicken any, nor give Spirituall bleflings, but onely Temporall; but the New Testament doth give Life and Spirituall bleffings, even the Kingdome of Heaven. The O'dgave but the hadow, the New gives the Substar ce, the Old the shell the New the Kernelt. This is also a mistaking of the Old Testament for the bare Letter of the Law, withour respect to Christ. Otherwise their speech is most abominable. For God by the promifes in the Old Testament did quicken many, and bring them to Christ, and to all grace and blellednelle in him, as we fee in Abraham, David, and the holy Prophets. So that if they doe by the Old Testament understand aright all the writings of Moses and the Prophets before Christ, they are in a blasphemous errour. If onely they meane by the Old Testament, the Law without any promises of Christ, then it is no Testament, and

fo they erre grofly to call it fo.

The last difference is, that the New Testament makes men sonnes, and brings them also to the state of lons: but the Old doth make none sonnes, except by vertue of the New, neither doth it bring any to the state of sons, but all under it lived as children under bondage, as the Apostle speakes, Galat, 4. This is also proved to be falle by the former Doctrine; for whosever are in Christ are sonnes. and wholoever have the spirit of Adoption, are sonnes of God, and in the state of sorines. Now the Old Testament did bring all the faithfull Fathers to Christ, and to true fellowthip and communion with him, otherwise none of them could have been

Ads 4. 12.

been faved, neither could it have been a Testament, one in substance with the New. Yea, the Scripture testifieth plainely, that the faithfull under the Old Testament were sons of God, for Ifa.63.0.16. they are brought in thus speaking to God; Doubtlesse thou art our Father, though Abraham bee ignorant of m, and Ifrael acknowledge ou not. Thou, O Lord, art our Father, and our Redeemer, thy Name is from everlasting, And Ifa. 64.8; and Ierem. 31.9. I am a Father to Ifrael (faith God) and Ephraim is my first borne: and yerall these had no other meanes to bring them thus necre to God, but the Old Testamer wherefore wee fee there is such difference betweenerhoold and New Testament, as many would have us to belceve, onely they differ in forme circumstances, and in quality, as in plainenelle of revelation, and fuch like beforenamed and yet now the difference is not fo great, when the Old is. laid open and expounded by the New, and daily more and more explained! explained to us. And so much for

Use 2.

Secondly, this truth well confidered, is of speciall use to make us esteeme and reverence the Old Testament as well as the New, and so to respect and honour the New, that in the meane time we doe not neglect or lightly esteeme the Old Testament. Let blasphemous Heretiques fay what they will, let fome of them call it a killing letter, and the miniftry of death, and make the Prince of darkenesse the Author of it, and others blaspheme it, as a covenant onely of carnall and earthly promifes : Yet let all true Christians honour and embrace it as the Word of the most High, holy, and onely true God, and the Testament of Christ fealed with his Blood, and ratified by his Death, in which the Fathers found falvation, and eternall life, as our Saviour theweth, lob. 5.39.

Use 3.

Thirdly, this Doctrine serves to teach and direct us in the right way both of understanding and expounding the obscure prophesies of the Old

Tefta-

Testament, and confirming, by the Old, the most doubifull things rehearfed in the New; for the Prophers of old spake of Christ, and of all things which are recorded in the Golpell concerning his Death, and fufferings for our Redemption: & if any should doubt of the things written in the Gospell, concerning the ignominious death and sufferings of Christ, as being too base for the Son. of God to fuffer the Old Testament will confirme all, and wil! thew yethat God himfelfe from the beginning foretold Christs death, when he said, that the Serpent should bruise his heele; and by the flaughter and bloody facrifices of Beafts, in Types forethewed the fame.

The Prophers also from Moses, in all the Scriptures, foretold whatfoever Christ did or suffered in the flesh for mans Redemption; as our Saviour shewed to the two Disciples in the way to Emaus. And as the Luke 24. New Testament is confirmed by the Old; fo the Old receives cleere light from the New, & that which in it was

more obscurely foretold, is by the fulfilling thereof in the New, made most cleere and evident: wherefore let us receive them both as one and the same Testament in substance, and that of one and the same Christ. If we make them both look one way, & in expounding them make Christ the matter & subject of both, we shall not erre, nor be deceived, but in both together we shall see Christ most fully revealed, so far as is needfull for us to know him, and the true way to salvation, in him our Saviour & Redeemer.

CRAP. IIII.

Of the word Covenant, and of the nature of a Covenant, and the agreement and difference betweene the old and new Covenant.

The second ching which comes name between God and Man, where we are to show what the word Covenant signifieth, what is the nature of a Co-

a Covenant, and the agreement and difference between the Old and New Covenant. The word Covenant, in our English rongue, signifieth, as we all know, a mutuall promise, bargaine and Obligation betweene two parries, and so likewise doth the Hebre w word nanand the Greek word name. fignifie most commonly: But the derivation of the Hebrew word, and of the Greeke, is of special use, to thew the nature of the Covenant which they principally fignifie, and what speciall things are therein required. I will therefore first infift upon it a little. Secondly, I will hew the feverall forts of Covenants which the words fignifie, and will briefly describe all the Covenants betweene God and Men. Thirdly, out of the severall descriptions I will gather the agreement and difference betweene the Old and the New Covenant. And lastly, I will make forme use and application of these confiderations to our selves.

First the derivation of the words of it, if it be rightly confidered, may

give us greatlight. The Hebrew word Berith, is of some derived from , , Barar, to purifie, and to purge out Droffe, Chaffe, and all uncleannetfe, and to choose out, and separate the pure from the impure, the gold and filver from the droffe, and the pure Wheate from the Chaffe, The rea-Cons of this derivation, are two, Onc. because God, in making the Covenant of naturall life, did choose out man especially with whom he would And in the make the Covenant. Covenant of Grace he doth chuse out the multitude of the Elect, even his Church and faithfull people, whom he did separate by Predestination, and Election, from all eternity, to be an holy people to himfelfe in Christ. The other reason is, because in a true, and lawfull Covenant, both parties must be of pure hearts, free from all deceit and Sophistry, and must deale faithfully, and meane plainely and fincerely in every point and article.

Others derive the word Berith of

Elect

Elect or choose, and also to divide or cut afunder. The reasons web they give, are two. The first, because Covenants are not made but betweene choise persons, chosen out one by another, and about choise matters, and upon choise conditions, chosen out andagreed uponby both parties, The second, because God made the first Covenant of Grace, and sealed it by facrifices of Beafts, flain, divided, and cut asunder, and the choise fat, and other parts offered upon the Altar; and in making of great and solemne Covenants, men in Old time were wont to kill and cur afunder facrificed Beafts, and to patie betweene the parts divided, for a solemne cestimony, Gen. 15. 17. and Ier. 34.18, Others derive the word nina of nia which fignifieth to eate and refresh ones felfe with meate, whereof there is some reason, to wit, Because the Old Covenant of God, made with Maninthe Creation, was a Covenant wherein the Condition or Law was about eating; That Manshould eate of all Trees and Fruits, except of

the Tree of Knowledge of good and evill. And in the folerane making and fealing of the Covenant of Grace in Christ the bletled Seed, the publique Ceremony was flaying and facrificing of Beafts, and eating fome part of them, after the fat and choife parts were offered up and burnt on the Altar. For God by vertue of that Govenant gave Man leave to eat the fleth of Beafts, which hee might not doe in the flate of innocency, being limited to Fruits of Trees, and Hearbes bearing Seed, for his meare, Grn. 1.29. Soulo in folemne Covenants betweene men, the patries were wont to cate together; as, appeares, Gen. 31.46.

To these, two other derivations may be added; one, that Berith may be derived of who which significant to create, whereof there is good reason; to wit, because the first state of creation was confirmed by the Covenant which God made with Man, and all creatures were to be upheld by means of observing of the Law and Condition of that Covenant. And that Co-

venant

wenant being broken by Man, the world made subject to ruine, is upheld, yea, and as it were created anew by the Covenant of Grace in Christ.

The other derivation is of the Hebrew word and which fignifieth fat: because in the Covenant of Grace, God promiser to Man the sat of Heaven, and of the Earth, that is, the most excellent blessings which Heaven and Earth can afford: and Man offereth up to God the sat of his soul, & of all his goods, that is, the most precious things which he hath, besides the sweet and most excellent and precious sacrifice which Christ offers up for him to God.

These are the divers derivations of the word Berith, which I have observed out of the writings of the learned, to which I have added these two

laft.

And because this word doth well agree with the found and signification of all the words of which it is derived by severall learned men, so that if wee should make choice of any one derivation.

derivation, we might feeme to reject and despise others which stand with as good reason; I hold it the safest and furest way to account of this word, as of a speciall word invented and given by the Spirit of God himfelf, who fees and knowes all circumstances of every thing at once, and that it is purposely framed out of all the words before named, and includes in it the fum of them all, being, as it. were the quintellence of them all distilled together into one perfect sense. And howsoever it may seeme strange to some, at the first blush, that one word should be derived of many, and receive a mixt fignification from them all; yet if they better confider it, they shall see good reason for it, and shall finde that it is no gare thing in holy Scripture, for one word to fignifie in one place divers things, and one word to be derived of many, and to borrow the feverall fignifications of them all.

The proper name of the Propher Samuel, is derived of foure Hebrew words, the first Shaal, which signi-

fies

fies to Aske; the second Hu, which fignifies Himsthethird Min, which fignifies Of the fourth El, which fignifies God, And it is faid, I Samit. 20. that his Mother called him Shemuel, that is, one asked of God, because shee said, I asked him of the Lord. So the Prophet Isaiah called his sonne by Gods appointment Sheariashub, weh is derived of severall words which signifie, A remnant thall returne. And the Prohet leremy, by inspiration of Gods Spirit, told Pasbur the persecuting Priest, that his name should be Magerneillabib, terrour round about, or on every side, because the Lord would make him a terrour to himfelfe, Ier. 20. 3. Now if one name may by the teltimony of Gods Spirt be derived of divers words, and borrow a mixt sense from them all, as the word Samuel. which is derived or compounded of foure words, and doth hold the fignification of themall, though it includes but one letter of some of them; much more may wee thinke that the word Berith is derived of all the

the words before named, and inchudes in isthesenseand fignification of themail, as well as it includes a syllable at least of every one of them; thisis one ftrong and invincible reain. Secondly, wee have good reafons of every derivation, as I have already shewed. Thirdly, the deriving of the word, from all, and not from one onely, doth reconcile in one, all the severall opinions of the Learned, and justifies their several derivations, without rejecting, or offering any wrong, or difgrace to any. Fourthly the Greeke word Astina, by which the Septuagint in their Greeke translation doc expresse the Hebrew word Bbrith, and which the Evange. liftsand Apostles in the New Testament doeule to fignific a Covenant, is derived of the Greeke word Andrew, which hath divers of the fignifications of the Hebrew words. of which Berith is derived for it fignifies, to fet things in order and frame, to appoint orders, and make Lawes, to pacifie and make fatisfaction, and to dispose things by ones laft

last Will and Testament. Now to compose and set things in order, is to uphold the Creation; to walke by Orders & Lawes made & appointed, is to walk by rule, & to live & to deal plainely, and faithfully, without deceit. To pacific and make fatisfaction includes facrifices and finne-offerings. To dispose by Will and Testament, implies choice of persons and gifts; for men doe by Will give their best and most choice goods to their most deare and most choise friends. Thus the Greeke which the Apostles we in the New Testament to figuific a Covenant, to ex. prette the Hebrew word Berith, web is used in the Law and the Prophets, doch confirme our derivation of it from all the words before named. And this derivation of the Hebrew and Greeke names of a Covenant being thus laid downe and confirmed by their resions, is of great Thirdly, there a not b

First, to shew unto us the full signification of the word Covenant, and what the nature of a Covenant is in generall.

Secondly, to justifie the divers acceptations of the Word, and to shew the nature of every word in particular; and so to make way for the knowledge of the agreement, and difference betweene the Old and New Covenant.

First, there we see that this Word signifies all Covenants in generall, both Gods Covenant with men, and also the covenants which men make among themselves. For there is nothing in any true Covenant, which is not comprised in the signification of this Word, being expounded according to the former derivations.

Heere also we see what is the nature of a Covenant in generall; and what things are thereunto required.

Posetti a division, or separation.

Secondly, it comprehends in it a mutuall promiting, and binding betweene two diffinct parties.

Thirdly, there wust be faithfull dealing, without fraud, or differn-

bling, on both fides.

Choite person s. Fiftly,

Fiftly, it must be about choyce matters, and upon choice conditions,

agreed upon by both.

Sixtly, it must rend to the well-ordering and composing of things betweene them. All these are manifest by the fignifications of the words from which Berith is derived. But I hold it not so needfull to stand upon the nature of a Covenant in generall. I therefore come with speed to the divers acceptations of the Word, and to the description of every speciall, and particular Covenant, which is needfull to be knowne of us.

CHAP. V.

Irst, the Hebrew word Berith, (as also the names of Covenant, in the Greeke, and English tongue) fignifies a Govenant betweene God and Men

Secondly, it signifies the Covenants of men among themselves, as Gen. 21.27. It fignifies the Covenant betweene Abraham, and Abimelech

and

and Gen. 31. 44. the Covenant betweene Lacob and Laban. But here I have little to doe with Covenants betweene men. The Covenant which I am to insist upon, is betweene God and Men.

First, the Covenant of natural life and bleffings, which God made with

Manin the creation.

Secondly, the Covenant of Grace, which God made with Man in Christ, after Mans fall. In the Covenant of Nature, the parties were, God the Creator, and Manthe Creature, made after Gods Image and likenelle, and so not contrary to God, nor at enmity with him, but like unto God, though farre different, and inferiour to God, in Nature and substance. The promises on Gods part were thefe, That Heaven and Earth, and all creatures should continue in their naturall course and order. wherein God had created and placed them, ferving alwayes for mans use, and that man should have the benefit. and lordship of them all, and should live happily, and never fee death.

The condition on Mans part, was obedience to Gods Law, and Subjeation to God his Creator in all things; and this he was to expresse by obeying Gods voyce in every thing which he had already, or should at any time command, more especially in abstaining from the Tree of good and evill. The Signe and Seale which God gave to Man, for the confirmation of this Covenant, was the Tree of Life, which was to man a Sacrament, and pledge of eternall Life on earth, and of all bleffings needfull to keepe man in life. The receiving of this Seale, was mans eating of the Tree of Life. The end of this Covenant, was the upholding of the Creation, and of all the creatures in their pure naturall efface, for the comfort of man continually.

This was the first Covenant which God made with man, and this is called by the name Berith, Iere. 33. 20. where God saith, If you can breake my Covenant of the day and night, and that there shall not be day and night in their season, then may al-

so my Covenant with David be bro. ken.

In these words he speakes plainly of the promise in the creation, That day and night should keepe their course, and the Sunne, Moone, and Starres, and all creatures should serve for mans nse. This though man did breake on his part, yet God, being immutable, could not breake it, neither did hee suffer his promise to faile, but, by vertue of Christ promised to man in the New Covenant, doth in some good measure continueit, so long as Mankinde hathabeing on earth.

The Covenant of Grace, is that which God made with man after his fall, wherein of his ownefree Grace and Mercy, hee doth promife unto Mankinde a bleffed Seed of the Woman, which by bruifing the Serpents head, that is, destroying the power and workes of the Devill, should redeeme Mankinde, and restore all that believe in that bleffed Seed Christ, to a more happy and bleffed estate, then that which was lost. In

this

this Covenant the parties were God Almighty offended by Mans finne, and provoked to just wrath; and man by his wilfull transgression now become a Rebell and enemy against God, and deserving eternall death; fo that here is great contrariety, feparation, opposition, and cause of enmity betweene the two parties, and betweene them there was no possibility of peace and reconciliation, withoura fir and all sufficient Mediator necessarily comming betweene.

The things which God promifeth in this Covenant, and for his part performeth, are admirable, farre

furpaffing mansreason.

The first, is the Ali-sufficient Mediatour Christ, his owne eternall Sønne, whom God promised immediately after mans fall, and who did then begin, actually , to mediate for man, and did underrake to become Man, and by a full fatisfaction made in Mans nature, to Gods infinite Iustice, and just Law, and a perfect and full ransom paid for mans Redemption to purchase pardon of all

mans fins, to justifie, and make him righteous, and to reconcile him to God.

The second, is the Spirit to be given to man, and shed on him through Christ the Mediatour, Gal. 3. 14. and Tit. 2.6.

The third, is spirituall, Life, derived fro Christ, & wrought in man by his quicking spirit, together with all graces and blessings thereto belonging.

The fourth, is union, and communion with Christ of all his benefits, as of his Son-ship, to make all regenerate men sonnes of God, and heires of eternal life, glory, and all blessings, of his satisfaction and sufferings for remission of all their sins; of his righteousnesses with the same of the same of the same outnesses of the same of the same of the same outnesses of the same of the same of the same of the same outnesses of the same of

The fift, is a true right to the naturall life which Adam lost, to the Creatures made for mans use, and to all earthly blessings which are given him to possesse and injoy in this life.

The fixth, is fanctification and holinesse, whereby man is fitted to see and enjoy God, Matth. 5.8. and Hebr. 12.14.

The

The last, which is the end of all, is the eternall life of glory, in the fruition of God in Heaven.

In this Covenant there is not any condition or Law to bee performed on mens part by man himselfe, asin the first old Covenant of Nature: and therefore it is called the free Covenant of Grace, and not of Workes. The perfect obedience, righteoufnesse, and satisfaction of Christ, which he performed to the whole Law, for man in Mans nature, though it stands in the place of every mans perfect obedience to Gods Law in his owne person, and his subjection to the whole revealed will of God, which was the condition of the Old Covenant of Works, and when man is partaker of it by communion with Christ, he is more perfectly justified, and made worthy of life eternall, than man in the state of nature could have beene by his owne perfect obedience, and personall righteousnesse performed in his owne perion; Yet it cannot so properly bee called, A condition of the New Covenant

venant of Grace which God hath made with Mankinde (because God imposech it not as a condition to bee performed by every man in his perion) but is one of the bleffings promised in the New Covenant. So likewise, the Gifts, Graces, and Workes, and Fruits of the Spirit, which are required to be in man, to make him an actuall parraker of Christ, and of life and salvation in him, whether they be outward, as the word preached and heard, the Sacraments given and received, and the like; or inward, as Faith, by which Christ is received, and applied; Repentance, Love, Hope, and other faving Graces; they are all free gifts of God, he gives them rous, and by his Spirit workes in us both to will and to doe; and withouthis Grace continually affifting us according to his promise, wee cannot performe any thing which is mentioned in the Gospell, as a conditionall meanes of life and Salvation in Christ. And therefore this Covenant is fa dus graenitum, a most free Covenant of Grace

Grace, wherein no condition is propounded to man, to be performed by any power of his owne for the obtaining of life: but God of his owne free Grace promifeth all bleffings, and for his owne sake gives them; and also all power to receive and enjoy them. And the end and use of this Covenant, is not any gaine which Godseeketh to himselfe, nor any good which he can receive from man, or any creature, but onely the making of man perfectly bletled in the fruition of himfelfe and all his goodnetse, and so gathering to himselfe all thing in Christ. Covenant is that which is called, the Covenant of Peace, and is most highly extolled, and commended in all the Scriptures, both of the Old and New Testament. And howsoever the fubstance of this Covenant bath beene alwayes one and the same from the beginning, even from the feventh day of the world, wherein God first promised Caract the bleifed Seed, and to mall be for ever; yer because the carcum flances are di-

1)5

vers, and the manner of revealing the promise, and of sealing it, is far disserent in the Old, and New Testament; hereupon it comes to passe, that the Spirit of God doth distinguish it into the Old and New Covenant; and as it was revealed, and sealed to the Fathers under the Law, cals it the Old Covenant; and as it is now revealed and sealed under the Gospell, cals it the new Covenant, Ier. 31.31.&2 Cor. 3.6. And both theseare called by the name of answering in the Hebrew, and by the name of answering in the Greeke Text.

CHAP. VI.

In the Old Testament, the Lord first made this Covenant with Adam, but in very darke, obscure, and generall termes, and in Types and figures, even sacrifices we were seales of it unto him and his posterity. The words of the Covenant were these, That the seed of the moman should breake the Serpents head, it the Serpent should bruise his heel, that

that is, Christ made man of the Seed of a Woman; and being by the Old Serpent, the Divell, and by the generation of Vipers perfecuted, and put to an ignominious death, should disfolve the Workes of the Divell, and destroy sinne, by fatisfying for it to the full. The facrifices which God added to this promise, further to illuitrate and confirme it were clean and far-fedBeafts, web the Lord commanded them to confecrate, flay, and to offer up to him by burning and confuming part thereof; and the rest, they themselves who were his Priests and Sacrificers, did eate. That the Lord taught Adam to facrifice, appeares by the practife of Cain and Abet, and by their offrings which they brought to God, being undoubtedly taught by their father, Gen. 4. Yea, it may be gathered from the Coates of Skinnes which God made, and therewith cloathed our first Parents, Gen. 3. 21. Those skinnes could be no other, but of Beafts flain and offered in facrifice. For before Adams fal beaftswere not subject to mortality, nor flaine; the flaughter flaughter, and killing of Beafts, and mans eating of their flesh, came in by sinne, and after mans fall. In innocency mans meat was fruit of Trees, and Herbes bearing seed, Gen. 1.

The first right which God gave to man to eat fleih, was after the promife, and after that Beafts were confecrated to be facrificed as Types of Christ, and of his Death. Now these facrifices of Beafts did shew the nature of the Covenant, and the manner of mans reconciliation; chafing of cleane and harmelelle Beafts, shewed that Christ should be pure and holy in himselfe, like a Lambe without spot; The confectation of them shewed that Christ should in his conception be sanctified, and take our nature, and our finnes upon him, that hee might be our Redeemer, and our Sacrifice. Thekilling of the Beafts, and the burning of the fat, and fome parts of them, fignified the manner of Christs reconciling of us, and working our peace, even by his Death, and palling through the fire of Gods wrath. Gods cloathing of Adam and

and his wife with their skinnes, fignified that Mans fin and shame is covered with Christs satisfaction, and the faithfull are to be cloathed with the robe of his Righteousnesse.

The liberty which God gave man to eate flesh of Beasts, which hee might not before sacrifices were ordained, sheweth that we gaine more by Christ, than we lost in Adam.
This was the first making and revea-

ling of the Covenant.

Afterwards the Lord renued this Covenant with Noah, Gen. 6. 10. and did further reveale it in another Type, namely, the faving of Noah and his family in the Arke, which was borne up by the flood of Waters; Which Arke lignified the Church: The faving of them onely who were in the Arke shewed that salvation is found onely in the Church of Christ, and none can be faved but they who by faith cleave to Christ, and are members of his body in the true Church: The water bearing up the Arke, and so saving it and them that were in it, signified that the Church and faithfull are faved by the washing of Regeneration, Tit. 3.5. The Baptisme of the Spirit, and that Laver of Christs Blood, which outward Baptisme signifieth, 1 Pet. 3.21.

Thirdly, the Lord renued this Covenant with Abraham, and did somewhat more plainely reveale it

unto him.

First, by promise, that in him all the Families of the Earth should be bletsed, and the promised seed and Saviour should come of him, Gen. 12. 3. and 22 18.

Secondly, by shewing the way to life and happinelle, even just fication by Faith, apprehending Christ, and seeking righteousnelle for a shield, & for reward in him alone, Gen. 15.1,6.

Thirdly, by Oath, Gen. 22.16.

Fourthly, by the promise of the Land of Canaan to him, and to his Seed, which was a Type of the heavenly Canaan, and did præfigure the Countrey which is above, Gen. 15.18.

Fiftly, by the seale of the Covenant of Grace, to wit, circumcision,

which

which signified that Gods faithfull people must be circumcifed in their hearts, and have the fore-skinne of sleshly lusts cut away by mortification of the Spirit, Gen. 17.

Sixtly, the offering up of Isaac, the sonne of promise, on Mount Moriah, by Godsappointment, did præsigure and soreshew, that by the offering up of Christ, the promised Seed, in the same place, all Nations should be saved, Gods wrath pacified, and perfect obedience fulfilled.

Lastly, by the outward forme, and ceremony of a folemne oath and covenant which patfed betweene God and Abraham, Gen. 15.17. For there we reade, that the Lord commanded Abraham to take an heifer of three yeeres old, a shee goat of three yeeres, and a ramme of three yeeres, and a turtle Dove, and young Pigeon, and he divided them in the midft, & laid each peece, one against another. And it came to palle, that when the Sun went downe, and it was darke, behold a smoaking Furnace, and a burning Lampe, that pailed betweene thole pieces.

pieces. Now this was the forme of taking a folemne oath among the Chaldeans and the Hebrewes, inftitured by God himselfe, as appeares, Ierem. 34, 18, where it is faid, That when the children of Ifrael made a Covenant to let their servants goe free, they cut a Galfe in twaine, and passed betweene the parts of it: this was the ceremony of an oath and covenant; and this God ordained, for he calls it there, His Covenant. And hereupon it is, that in the Old Testament, the Hebrew word which is used for making of aCovenant, is no, (which signifies, to cut asunder) as appeares, Dent. 5.2. and divers other places, which sheweth that Covenants were folemnly made by facrifices of beafts divided.

Now this dividing of the Beafts in two parts, did signific and teach two things.

First, that there was a division made betweene God and men, by sinne.

Secondly, the division of the Covenant of Grace, into two parts, the

Old

Old and New Testament. The comming, and passing betweene signified, in the solution of the sol

First, that Gold and men must be reconsiled, and the Covenant sealed and confirmed between them by a Mediatour.

Secondly, that Christ the Mediatour was to come in the middest of years, betweenerhetime of the Old, and the time of the New Testament, to knit and linke both in one, and to confirme both. But in that God came betweene the parts, like a smooking Furnace, and a burning Lampe; to confirme the Covenant, and to tale it to Abraham at that time; this signified,

First, that Christ the Mediatour, comming betweene God and men, should be God clouded in our fraile nature, which is but like a vapour and smoake; that he thould passe through the Furnace of afflictions, and yet in his life should be a burning and shinning Lampe, pure and perfect in righteousnesse and holincise,

Secondly, that the Lord in those

times

times did reveale himselse and his Sonne more obscurely, like a smoaking Furnace in smoake and cloudes, and like a burning Lampe, which is but dimme in comparison of the light of Christ the Sunne of Righte-ousnesse; and the brightnesse of Gods glory shining in the face of Iesus Christ.

Besides these wee reade of divers other renuings, and explanations of this Covenant; as that with David, recorded, Pfal. 89. 3,28, 34 verfes; where the Lord promised that Christ should come of the feed of David. and should be a King for ever. And many promises of speciall deslings which God of old promifed, are called Covenants. But the speciall and principall Covenant which is especially called the Old, and is distinguished from the New Covenant of the Golpell, is Gods making and renewing of the Covenant with If. rael, partly by his owne mouth, and partly by the ministery of Moles on Mount Horeb, which is mentioned Exed. 19. 20. For that Covenant is a mixt a mixt Covenant, partly of the Covenant of Workes, which is the Old Covenant, partly of the Covenant of Grace, which was made after the fall.

First, God fent Mofes to the people to aske whether they would obey the Lords voyce, and keepe all his Commandements, that they might thereby live and be bleffed. They answered all together, and said, All that the Lord hath spoken we will doe. Thereupon the Lord came downe upon Mount Sinai, in fire and smoake and with terrible Thunders and Lightnings, and the found of a Trumpet, and spake unto them the words of the Law immediately with his owne mouth, promising life to them that kept it, and threatning death to the breakers thereof,

Now this was but a repeating, and renewing of the first Covenant of Workes, to be performed by every man in his owne person, for the obtaining of life. In this therefore there was no Mediatour betweene God and the people.

The Reasons why the Lord thus

Reaf. 1.

began with Ifrael, and first renewed the Old Covenant, were divers.

The first, was their pride, prefumption, and hardnetle of heart; they prefumed that they could doe all that the Lord would command them, and therefore he gave them his Law to shew them their duty; that they allaying to fulfill it, and finding their owne infufficiency, might bee humbled and brought downe from vaine confidence in their owne Workes

Heere the Lord did deale with them, as wife fathers deale with their foolish & vain boafting fons, who do promise largely that they will do any thing which their fathers will command them, and that by their merits they will bind their fathers to love them, and to give them the inheritance. In such a case, a wise father will put fuch a boafting fonne to the triall, and will put him to a taske which he knoweth that he is unable to goethrough; not because hee beleeves, or hopes that his sonne can performe it (being through his own

intem-

end, to make him fee his owne folly, and insufficiency. And so the Lord did deale with I frael.

Secondly, the Lord gave the Law, which is the rule of righteous netse, and withall shewed the punishment due to the transgressors of it; that it might be as the rod of a Schoolemasster, to drive them to Christ, to learne the saving knowledge, and way of life in him, as the Apostle speakes, Gal. 3. and to make them out of seater enounce then selves, and seeke mercy in him.

Thirdly, to teach them and us, that how soever it is impossible for us to be saved by the Law, by reason of our sinfull stellh, and our corruption which hath utterly disabled us, that we cannot obey it; yet the Law is still in sorce, and requires perfect righteousnesses, and without the righteousnesse of the Law sulfilled by Christ for us, we cannot be justified nor saved, according to that saying of the Apostle, Christ is the end of the Law for righteousness to every one that

Reaf 2.

Reaf.3.

that beleeveth, Rom. 10.4. For these and such like reasons God gave the Law.

But when the people of Israel heard the Law, which was the Covenant of Workes to be performed in their owne persons, and that immediately from God himselfe, it is said that they were fore afraid, and being not able to abide the fight of Gods glory, nor the found of his voice, they cryed out, Why should me die? and hereupon they began to desire a Mediator, even Moses, Saying, Goethon & hear the Lord, & freak thou to m, Exed. 20. 19. and Deut. 5.25, 26,27. This was some good beginning; the Law began to take effect, and to drive them towards a Mediator. And therefore the Lord faid, They have well for ken all that they have faid, to wir, in desiring a Mediatour; & added withall, O that there were in them such an heart, that they would feare mee, and keepe my Commandements alwayes: that it might be well with them and their children ! Which words shew the Will and Minde of God.

God, wishing after a fort their increase and continuance in this good minde and feare of him, and feeking to keepe his Commandements, in, and by a Mediator. Whereupon hee proceedes to deale with them by a Mediator, and to renue the Covenant with them, by appointing divers figures of Christ, as Sacrifices, Rites, Ceremonies, the Tabernacle, the Arke of the Covenant, and the Mercy Seat; in all which, as in Types, he did reveale Christ, though obscurely unto them, and shewed that finne was to be expiated and purged away by his Death.

Afterward, also when they came into the land of Moab, he did renue the Covenant of Grace in more plain termes, than he did on Mount Horeb; insomuch that by reason of the greater plainnesse, it is called another Covenant, Deur. 29. 2. There he told them, that Christ should bee their Rocke, Deur. 32. 4. and that the Word, his Gospell, was among them.

Now because of the first part of this

this Covenant, to wir, the ten Commandements which God spake first, and after gave them written in two Tables, which are called by the name of Covenant, Den. 4.13. and 9.9. and indeed are the summe of the Old Covenant which God made with men in the Creation;

This Covenant, which God made with Israel, is called the Old Covenant, and the Covenant of the Law, and is opposed to the Covenant of the Gospell, that is, to the Covenant, as it is now revealed in the writings of the Evangelists and Apostles, and plainely preached and published over all the world.

Thus much for the Old Cove-

CHAP. VII.

The New Covenant which was forested by the Prophets, Ifa. 42.6.der, 31.31. Zach. 9.11. it is the Covenant which God hath now made; by the preaching of the Gospell

Gospell in this New Testament. It is the Covenant of all happinelle, all bleffings, and all salvation in Christ, plainely preached and revealed, fealed also and confirmed, not by Blood of Christ in Types and Figures ; but by the very Blood it selfe bodily shed on the Croffe for our finnes; and by the two plaine Sacraments of Baptifme, and the Lords Supper, this is called ברית חרשה the New Covenant, Ierem . 3 1 . 3 I . and rapin or abium, Luke 22. 20.2nd 2 Cor. 3. 6. 2017 or orasiza, 2 better Covenant, Hebr. 7. 22. For in it the Lord reveales his promifes fo plainley and cleerely, that all men may see and know the way to life. And howbeit in this Covenant there is nothing expressed weh was not inplyed and included in the general obscure promises made unto Adam, and unto Abraham& David, and the rest of the Fathers in old time; And although Iesus Christ the perfect Saviour, and Eternall Redeemer, God and Man, with all his righteousnetle, obedience, and full farisfaction, and all his benefits, bleffings, gifts and graces,

graces, which serve to bring men to perfect blessednesse and salvation; which are fully expressed in the Covenant of the Gospell, were darkely and obscurely offeredunto the Fathers, and were apprehended by their faith, in that Covenant which God made with them: Yet certainely this Covenant, asit is now renewed by the comming of Christ, and by the preaching of the Apostles and Evangelists, may justly be called a New Covenant, and is truely fo called, both by the Prophets and Apostles, for divers good reafons and confiderations.

Reaf. 1.

First, because there is as great difference betweene this Covenant thus revealed, and the Covenant as it was revealed before Christs incarnation, as there is betweene an old darke house builded up strong, but yet without any whiting or painting, having very few doores or windowes in it, and those either very narrow, or else shur up with boards, or stopped with Bricks and Morter, that sew can enter in, save such as are already within

within it; and when they are theres they have but small light, and some none at all: there is (I fay) great a difference betweene the Covenant, as it was revealed to the Fathers, and the same Covenant being now renewed with us, as there is betweene such an old darke house, and the same house when it is repaired from the very foundation, and is all whited over within and without, all painted and beautified, and trimmed from the roofe to the foundation, and is made full of faire and wide doores on every side, for all forts of people to enter into it; and hath many large windowes made in every roome, whereof none is stopped up; but all are glazed with pure Crystall Glatle, through which the light of the day, and the bright beames of the Sunne doe shine most comfortably. This difference will appeare most evidently to us, if wee compare the Old and New Testament together, and observe the diversity of Revelation.

The Covenant which God made E 2 with with the Fathers before Christ, was a fure house builded on Christ, and founded on Gods eternall Truth. It was a fafe shelter against all raine and foule weather of affliction, and all stormes of temprations, and did shrowd the Fathers from the scorehing heare of an evill conscience, and the fiery flames of Hell and the Devills fury: but it had few doores, and those narrow ones, such as few could enter through, to wit, onely the naturall Israelites, who were all included in it by the promise made to Abraham, and those who were circumcised Proselytes. The windowes of it were few also, and those were the darke promifes of Christ, wehyeelded but little light, shadowed over with Types and Figures, as with a vaile of obscurity. It had no glorious ornaments to allure men a farre off; It was not whited, nor painted, nor fet forth with variety of pleasant pictures which might delight people: but it ratherappeared all bloody with the blood of Bulls, Goates, Rammes and Lambs, like a flaughter house, and allblacke

blacke and smoaky with the continuall offering of burnt offerings and facrifices, and the smoaky fumes of Incense: Yea, so many were the ceremonies to be observed, and so heavie and intolerable was the burden of them, that it appeared unto all that passed by, to be rather a Shop to worke and labour in, a Mill to grinde in, and an house of correction, then any place of rest, or pleasant and comfortable habitation. But this Covenant, as it is now renewed with us under the Gospell, is much altered, and made like an house repaired and renewed throughout from the top to the foundation. The Rocke Christ upon which it is built, is now fet forth in all his glorious colours; all all the Motle of ceremonies which did over-grow and cover him, is taken away ; hee now thines like Ivory, Crystall and Adamant, most finely polished. The Truth of God in his promises, which is the ground of our Faith, is now made manifest and clear by the comming of CHRIST and by the fulfilling of his Word which

which he spake from the beginning; and now we dare boldly relie and reft on GodsWord, in fure hope and confidence that his Truth will never fail. The Ministerial I foundations, to wit, the writings of Moses and the Prophers, are now by the light of the Gofpell changed as it were from rough and unhewen stones, and made like smooth polished Marble. The foure Gospels are, as it were, foure doores made in the foure fides of this foure house, looking towards the foure winds of Heaven, ready to receive all men from all the foure corners of the earth. The many Sermons of Christ and his Apostles in the New Testament, are as so many Windowes, through which, as through Crystall Glaffe, much heavenly Light is conveyed and derived unto us, even from heaven, from the throne of God; the fweet promises, and many and divers gifts of the Spirit, as Knowledge, Faith, Tongues, gifts of Healing, Prophecying, Miracles, and the like, are as pleafant and delightsome Pictures and Ornaments, able to draw

draw, allure, and delight the hearts of all men. And the many outward bleffings of peace and plenty weh follow the preaching and profession of the Gospell where it is received, are as it were a glorious painting & whiting, which doth make this house glorious a far off, and fils and enflames all that palle by with admiration and love of it. Now there is none fo obstinate, nor fo strict in speech, but hee will grant, that an house so alrered and renewed throughout, (as I have before (hewed) may truely be called, though not another, yet a new house; because it is repaired, renued and beautified in all parts, though the foundation and substance of the walls, and the Timber be the same. And therefore none can deny but that the Covenant of Grace now under the Gospell, though it be the same in sub. stance and matter with that made to the Fathers, and hath the same foundation; yet being thus altered renewed, and beautified, may justly be called, though not another, yet a new Covenant at least, and a better Covenant. Second-E 4

Reaf.2.

Secondly the Covenant of Grace which before G O D made with Abraham and his feed, and which was inforce onely among the Ifraelites before the comming of Christ, is now by the preaching of the Apofiles made with all Nations, and all the people of the world are received into it, or at least have it offered unto them; and there is free accetfe made unto all through the new doores which are now made in every fide of the Covenant (as is before noted.) This is manifest by the very mission of the Apostles, and the Commission which our Sayiour Christ gave unre them, Matth, 28. in these words, Goe teach all Nations.

Now experience teachethus, that when an house is not onely repaired, but also inlarged every way, and the foundation of it is stretched out an hundred times more then before, it may truely even in respect of it selfe be called a new house. And when new inhabitants come to dwell in an house wherein they never dwelt before, though the house hath beene

long

long built, and is old in it felfe; yet to them it is a new habitation, and men in fuch cases call their houses new houses. Therefore by the same reafon it followes necessarily, that the Covenant of Grace which was made with the Fathers, being now by the comming of Christ, the light of the Gospell, and more plentifull gifts of the Spirit, much enlarged, and made capable of all Nations, and Christ the foundation of it being stretched out to all the world; it may even in it selfe be called a new and better Covenant. Also in respect of the new people which are received into it, ic. may be called a new Covenant, though in it selfe it were no whit altered or enlarged at all.

Thirdly, where the feales of a Co- Reafig. venant are made new, and the old are taken away, and where the manner of sealing is altered and quite inverted, there we may call it a new Covenant, though the substance be the same. Experience reacheth this ; For when a man that hath a Leafe of twenty. yeares in an house, gives it up, and

£ 5

takes another of the same terme in morefull and plaine words, or when upon some defect which he findes in his deed of sale, either in the forme of conveyance, or in the scaling and the witnesses, hee gives up his former deed, and takes another of the same land sealed with other seales, and testified by other witnelles; this wee call a new deed, though the land be the same, and the purchase all one in substance and true meaning. Now thus it is betweene the Covenant of Grace now under the Gospell, and the same Covenant before the comming of Christ. Though this is the fame in substance, and the salvation promised is the same, even that weh is onely in Christ: yet the manner of fealing is much altered and inverted, and the outward feales also. The Covenant had before many seales, as Circumcision, the Patscover, and all the Sacrifices, Ceremonies, Types and Figures of the Law: now it hath onely two, Baptisine and the Lords Supper. The old Seales were darke and obfcure, and had Christs image but

but dimly imprinted into them: The new have a more lively refemblance of Christ. In Baptisme there is the print of the whole Trinity, The Father, the Sonne, and the Holy Ghost. And the fignes in the Lords Supper are so like unto the Body and Blood of Christ, that they are called by the same name. Before the Gospell the Covenant was first sealed typically by Christs Blood; and at last by the Blood it selfe. Now the Covenant is first sealed by the Blood of Christ it selfe, and afterwards, to the end of the world, it is scaled to us by evident fignes and remembrances of Christs death, given by himselfe as pledges to us.

The old seales were mutable; the new are unchangeable. The old sealing was much in outward shew, and very little inwardly by the spirit; The new is little in outward shew, but more by the inward worke of the spirit. The word of the covenant is now more abundantly written in mens hearts, according to the word of the Lord ser. 3.1.3.2. This is the new

Corremant.

Covenant, I will put my law in their inward parts, and will write it in their bearts. Which words are to be understood thus; not that the fathers had not the word written in their hearts, but that it was not so deeply written, nor in the hearts of so many, as now it is. Wherefore the feales and the manner of sealing being so much renewed, and inverted, we may truely call this a new Covenant. Thus you see the description of the new Covenant now under the Gofpel, and the true reasons why it is called the new Covenant, even when it is compared with the Covenant made with the Fathers, which was the same in substance with it.

But if we compare it with the Covenant of Nature, which is the Covenant of Works, and of the Law made with Man in the Creation; then it must of necessity be called new, because that went before it, and was in the time of mans innocency; this came in after the fall; that promised naturals life, this promiset springed also; that tended to hold up the

Old Adam, this to build up the New.

So likewise if this new Covenant of the Gospell be compared with the Covenant which God made with Ifrael in the Wildernesse, it may truely and must necessarily be called new. For that was a mixt Covenant, mixt of the Government of Nature and of Grace, and contained in the Law. which is the Covenant of Workes: and the faith of the promise which is of the Gospell and of Grace (as is before shewed.) And therefore in respect of the first part of that Covenant which promited life to the doers of the Law, this is truely a new Covenant, differing in Substance from it; and indeed the Apostles doe call this Covenant of the Gospell a new Covenant, especially and chiefly in comparison of these two Covenants. even that of pure nature, and that mixt Covenant of the Law.

CHAP.

CHAP. VIII.

I Dow having largely described the Covenant of the Gospell, I proceed, for our better satisfaction, to shew more fully, plainely and distinctly, the true agreement and difference which is betweene the first Covenant of Nature, and the second Covenant which is the Covenant of Grace, and betweene the old and new publishing of the Covenant of Grace.

And first for orders sake I will shew how the Covenant of Nature and Grace docagree, and differ.

Secondly, because the Covenant of Grace hath beene solemnly published three divers wayes.

First, more darkly and obscurely to the Fathers, from Ada untill the giving of the Law.

Secondly, after a mixt manner to the Israelites, by the Ministery of Moles.

Thirdly, now at last most plainely and

and purely fince the coming of Christ in the stesh, by the Gospell preached and published to all Nations. I will shew how this last publishing of the Covenant, which is so glorious, that it is called the New Covenant by a special prerogative, doth agree with, and differ from the two former publications made, the one with the Fathers, Adam, Noah, Abraham, and the rest; the other with the Israelites in the Wildernesse. The cleer knowledge of which things may yeeld much fruit, profit and comfort, to the hearts and soules of true Christians.

CHAP. IX.

The agreement of the Covenant of Nature, which is called the first, with the Covenant of Grace, which is called the second Co-

First, these two Covenants doe agree betweene themselves, and that in three respects; First, the par-

ties are in substance the same in both Covenants. In the the sirst Covenant of Workes God was the one party, and Adam the other; And in the second, the parties are still the same in Nature and substance, to wit, God and Adam, with all man-

kinde his posterity.

Secondly, they doe agree in divers of the promises and conditions. In the first God promised unto man life and happinelle, Lordship over all the creatures, liberty to use them, and all other bleffings which his heart could defire to keepe him in that happy estate wherein he was created: And man was bound to God to walke in perfect righteousnelle, to observe and keepe Gods commandements, and to obey his will in all things which were within the reach of his nature, and fo farre as was revealed to him. In the second also the promise on Gods part is life and happinesse, with all bleflings thereto requifite, Lordship over the creatures liberty to use them, and a true right and title to them all, and in lieu of thefe:

these he requires of man perfect righteousnesseand obedience to his will and law, in every point and title, as our Saviour Christsaith, Mas. 5.18.

Thirdly, as the one had seales annexed unto it for confirmation, so also hath the other. The seale of the first Covenant was the Tree of Life, which if Adam had received by taking and eating of it, while hee stood in the state of innocency, before his fall, he had certainely beene establifhed in that estate for ever; and the Covenant being sealed and confirmed betweene God and him on both parts, he could not have beene feduced and supplanted by Satan, as some learned men doe thinke, and as Gods own words feem to imply, Gen. 3.22. The feals of the fecond Covenant are the Sacraments, as Circumcision, and suchlike in the Old Testamenr, and the Sacraments of Baptisme and the Lords Supper in these dayes of the Gospel; wch whosoever hathonce truly received, and is inwardly circumcifed as well as outwardly, & washed with the Laver of Regeneration, and baptifed

baptised into Christ, and hath true communion with him of his Body and Blood, that man can never fall, for the seed of God abideth in him, 1 John 3.9.

CHAP. X.

The difference betweene the Covenant of Nature and of Grace.

By as they agree in these things, so they differ divers wayes. First, though the parties are in substance the same, yet in other respects they differ.

I

First, in the Covenant of Nature, the parties God & man were friends. God was the Creator, man was his Creature made after Gods image. God was mans good Lord, and man was Gods good servant. God loved man, and man loved God with all his heart; there was not any least occasion of hatred or enmitte betweene them, but all causes of love. But at the making of the Covenant

of Grace, God and Man were fallen out and become enemies. God was provoked to just wrath, and his unchangeable justice required, that man should die, and be consumed by the fire of Godsjust wrath: And man was become a rebell, and an enemy, and traitor to God, and had conspired with the Devill against his Lord and King. God was to man a consuming fire, and man was as straw and stubble before him, by meanes of his sinfull corruption.

Secondly, in the Covenant of Nature, God revealed himselfe to man, as one God, Creator and Governous of all things, infinite in Power, Wisedome, Nature and substance. But in the Covenant of Grace God revealed himselfe one infinite God, and three persons distinguished, not onely a Lord and Creator, but also a merciful Redeemer, not onely in unity of essence, but also in trinity

of persons.

Thirdly, in the Covenant of Nature God was one party, and man alone was another: But in the Cove-

•

nant of Grace, God is on both sides. God simply considered in his essence, is the party opposite to Man. And God the second person, having taken upon him to be incarnate, and to worke mans redemption, was on mans side, and takes part with man, that he may reconcile him to God, by bearing mans sinnes, and satisfying Gods justice for them. Thus they

differ in respect of the parties.

Secondly they differ in respect of mediation; for in the Covenant of nature man needed no mediatour to come betweene God and him; he was pure, upright and good, created after Gods image, the nearer he came to God, the greater was his joy and comfort, Gods presence was a delight unto him. But in the Covenant of grace, because man by sinne, rebellion, corruption and enmity, was separated and alienated in his mind, nature and disposition from God, therfore mã could not come unto God to enter into Covenant with him, but by a perfect, pure and holy Mediator, infinit in power and favour with God,

God, that he might prevaile with him, and pacifie his wrath, and yet of mans nature and substance; that in and by the nature which had finned. satisfaction might be made for sinne. Without such a Mediatour, there could bee no Covenant made betweene God and man. If man, being ever since the fall filthy and corrupt, should in his owne person come near to God, who is to hima devouring and confuming fire, he as stubble and straw should presently be consumed, and perish at the presence of God. And therefore in making this Covenant, a perfect Mediatour is necessarily required, both to come betweene God and man, and to make perfect farisfaction to Gods just Law in the behalfe of miserable and sinful man, and to worke his reconciliation and arronement.

Thirdly, they differ exceedingly in the promises and conditions. First, the promises of God in the Covenant of nature, were onely naturall life, and earthly happinesse, with all blessings necessary thereunto. But in

the Covenant of grace God doth promise, over and above naturall life and felicity on earth, spirituall life and bleffings by the communion of his holy and eternall spirit; not only the spirituall life of grace in this world, but also of everlasting glory in the world to come, in the presence of his glorious Majestie. Secondly, in the first Covenant God did not promise to give life, but to continue life being before already given: But in the second Covenant he promiseth to raiseman from the dust of death and eternall damnation in hell which he was fallen head-long by transgression) unto the light of life, and that blelledneffe in heaven, of which his nature was never capable before, no not in the state of innocency. Thirdly, in the first Covenant the promised portion and possession, was of the earth and of all visible creatures which were fit to ferve for mansule. But in the fecond Covenant God proniseth heaven, and himselfe who made the heaven, to bee the God, the shield and reward of the faithfaithfull, and their portion and inheritance. Genefit 15. 1. Pfalm. 16. Fourthly, in the first Covenant God promised and gave to man power over all living creatures, to have them as a Lord at his command, and to use them for his delight, and to rule, not to kill and eat them. But in the second Covenant God gave them to him for sacrifice, yea and also to serve for his food and nourishment.

The fourth difference arifeth from the conditions which God requires at the hands of man and on mans behalfe, for all these great and wonderfull bleffings. In the first Covenant God required of man perfect righteousnetse and obedience to his whole law and will, so farre as it was in mans power by nature, and revealed unto him, and this he would have man to performe by himselse in his owne person. But in the second Covenant he requires, on mans behalfe, a more excellent obedience and righteoufnelle performed to the whole Law more plainely and fully revealed, and greatly enlarged, and that not by man himfelfe

himselfe or any meere creature; but by mans Mediatour Iesus Christ, God and man in one person, who is the end of the law for righteousnesse to every one that believeth. Rom. 10.4.

Now there is wonderfull difference betweene these two. The righceousnelle required in the first Covenant, was only the righteoufnetle of a pure naturall man, and able to fave him onely who performed it; but the righteousnetse of the second Covenant, is the righteousnesse of a perfect, pure and holy man filled with the holy Ghost, which Adam had not in innocency; year the righteoufnelle of that man, who is one person with God, and so it is the right cousnesse of God, as the Apostle calls it, 2 Cor. 5.21. and is of value to justifie not onely those who have communion of it, but also a whole world of men besides, if they were made partakers of it. Secondly, the rightcoufneise of the first Covenant was onely simple actuall obedience to the Law, flowing from naturall uprightnelle; But the righteousnelle of the second, confifts

consists of habituall holinesse, and of obedience both active and passive to the precepts and penalties, commands and threatnings of the Law; it hath in it both the sacrifice of righteousnesse, and also perfect fatisfaction for sinne by voluntary submission to sufferings and death.

Thirdly, the righteousnesse of the first Covenant confisted onely in obedience to the morall Law: But the righteousselle of the second is obedience both to the morall and ceremoniall Law. For our Saviour Christ was circumcifed, presented in the Temple, did eate the Paffeover, and observed all the ceremonial ordinances of God, yearand was baptized by loby (as the Gospel testifieth) and that not for himselfe (for he was free borne, without sinne, and needed not to offer facrifice, or to be circumcifed or washed) but onely to fulfill all righteousnesse, and to supplie the defects of the Fathers in their obedienceto Gods ceremoniallordinances of old, and also our defects in our baptisme and other Evangelicall or-F dinances,

d

n

f. fie

i-

of ta-

ıf-

:ly

w,

ſc;

ıd,

As

dinances: fo much he himselfe tellifieth, Math. 3.15. Rom. 15.8.

Fourthly, in the first Covenant God did not promise unto man a righteousnelle performed to his hand by a furery and intercetfour; but only gave man naturall strength and power to performe the righteousnesse which he required of him; but yet fuch mutable strength, that the devill by fudden tempration might prevent him before he was confirmed, and so pervert and supplant him: But in the fecond Covenant God gives both the righteousnesse performed to our hands, and also his holy spirit which workes in us faith and strength of grace to receive and enjoy it ; yea, by dwelling in its as Gods inmortail feed, doth unite usto Christ, and bring us to communion of all his benefits, as his fonship, righteousnetle, fatisfaction and the reft, and all this God doth both promise and give freely, so that this is fadus gratuitum, a most free Covenant.

The fifth difference is in the seales; for though in this, both covenants aaree,

gree, that fealeswere annexed to them, verthey differ in the feales and manner of fealing, both inward and ou tward. The lease of the first Covenant was therree of life: But the feales of the second Covenant were the Sabbath of the seventh day, facrifices circumcifion, and the patfeover in old time; and now the facraments of Baptifine, and the Lords funter. The seale of the first Covenant was but a pledge to confirme man in naturall life, and in natural! beleefe and effurance. But the feals of the fecond have the holy Spirit of God inwardly working with them, and by them.

Lastly, they differ in succelle, effect, strength, and perpetuity. The first Covenant had no good succelle, it never tooke effect to save any one of Adams sons; year it is abolished, only the law and condition of it stands firme in the matter and substance of it (being Gods immutable will, and eternall rule of righteousnesse) to wit, that without perfect obedience to Gods revealed will, man shall never come to eternall life, but is under the

F 1

jawes

jawes of death. But the second Covenant, being made in such a pertect Mediator, and sealed with the blood of Iesus Christ, God and man, which is of infinit and eternall value, hath had good successe from the beginning, hath taken effect in all ages, and is of force and vertue for ever world without end.

CHAP. XI.

Use.

Ow the confideration of these differences ferves to thew Gods infinit mercy and wonderfull bounty to miserable man; In that by Adams fall he tooke occasion to be more good unto us, and when we were become his enemies, did more exercise and shew his goodnesse, and give greater grace unto us. If God hadre. nued againe after mans fall the first Covenant of naturall life, it had been a great favour: but as if that were but a little in his eyes, he makes a better Covenant, even an eternall, and that of better promises, even promises of spiritual!

spirituall life and eternall bleffednesse in heaven, Alfo if God and man being by mansfault become utter enemies extremely contrary one to another, Godhad yeelded so farre as to accept of a Mediator hired by man to speake for him; furely it had been great mercy and clemency: for we fee that earthly Kings will admit no interceffors for rebells and traytors, except feare and necessity drive them unto it. But God in this point shewed mercy beyond all that reason could imagine or expect; when man fled from God, and had no minde, will or inclination to fue for merry, God fought after him, and offered freely to him a Mediatour not of the ordinary rank of creatures, but his owneSonne out of his bosome, and that notto speake, plead, or intreat only for man; but also to be incarnate and made man under the law, and subject to the curse thereof in mans stead, and by yeelding himselfe voluntarily to a curled death, to make full satisfaction for mans sinne. O heavens blush, and O earth beastonished

nithed at this, to see the some of God thus abased for Gods enemies; well might the funne hidehis face when this Mediatour suffered, as the Gospel tellifieth. And yet the Lords bounty stayeth not here; he goeth further, & when man neglecteth & delpifeth this his bounty, and neither will nor can defire or feeke to be partaker of it, he sendeth his word to call him, and his spirit to convert him and change his heart, and not only to make him hunger, and thirst after Christ and his righteousnelle; but also to unite him to Christ, and to bring him to communion of all his benefits and heavenly treasures. Thus the more that we have multiplied our rebellion and trangression against God to provoke him to wrath, the more hath he magnified his mercy, and enlarged his bounty towards us; and the more that finne hath abounded in men, the more hath his grace abounded towards them. Oler us now at length, when he hath done all these things for us, remember our felves, and turne unto him with ferrow and repentance for

for our sinnes past, let us labour to redeeme the time formerly mil-spent in
vanity, by double thanksuinesseand
obedience; and yet when we have
done all we can, let us to his glory
protesse, that we are unprofitablenesse, we have not done hale our
dutie, and if we have any mind to
glory and rejoyce, let us glory and riumph in the Lord, and give him all
laud and praise for ever and ever.

CHAP. All.

The agreement between the Covenant
of grace, as it was revealed to the
Fackers of the old Testament;
and the same renewed and
more fully explained in
the Gospell.

A Fter the agreement and difference betweene the Covenant of nature and the Covenant of grace plainly laid open, I proceed to thew now the second Covenant, to wit, the Covenant of grace doth agree and differ in respect of the divers publishings and promulgations of it in the

F 4

old and new testament. The Revelation of it in the old Testament, I have reduced to two heads: The one is that by which it was revealed to the Fathers before the Law, and renewed in diversages; as first, to Adam, secondly, to Noah, thirdly, to Abraham, Isaac, and Iacob; The other is the revealing and renewing of it with Ifrael in the wilderneffe, in the giving the law by the Ministery of Moses, after which it continued in one stay untill the coming of Christ: With these two my purpose is now to compare the Covenant as it is now fully revealed in the Gospel; And first with the Covenant as it was revealed to the Fathers before the I aw: That old, and this new doe agree divers wayes.

First the parties in generall are the same in both Covenants. In the Covenant with the Fathers, the one partie was God offended by mans sinne, and provoked unto wrath and displeasure by his rebellion, and so made a consuming and devouring fire unto him. And the other party was man

bv

by meanes of his fall and corruption now made a rebell and enemy unto God, and as stubble and drosse before his presence. And in the Covenant, as it is revealed in the Golpel, the parties are still the same, even God offended, and man the sinner and offender.

Secondly, they agree in this, that a Mediacour is required in both betweene the parties God and man so farre separated, and standing at so great a distance, for to make up the breach and the league between them, being at so great odds. And both have one Mediatour, Jesus Christ the promised seed, who alone in heaven and earth is able to stand before the devouring fire, and to make atonement betweene God and man. For that feed of the woman which in the first making of the covenant was promiled to Adam to break the serpents head, Gen. 3. that feed which was promised to Abraham and Isaac, in whom all the Nations of the earth should be bleised, Gen. 12. and 22. that Shiloh which Iacob spake of in his bleffing of Indah, Gen.49. He

was the Mediatour in the Covenant betweene God and the Fathers before thelaw: And he is no other but lefus Chrift, who came in the fulnesse of time; who by having his heel bruifed in his fufferings, hath broken the serpents head, that is, destroyed the workes of the devill; who by his Apostles, Gal. 3.9. hath called all nations to the partic pation of Abrahams bleffing, and to justification by faith in him, and who was made and born of a woman a pure virgin by the power of the holy Ghoft, Luk. 1.35. and is now and ever bath beene, yesterday and to day and the same for ever, a perfect redeemer and eternall Mediatour of the Covenant now under the Gospel, as appears, loh. 8.56. and 14.6. Esbef. 4.16. Heb. 13.8.

Thirdly, in both these Covenants the substance of the promises is one and the same. As we have the promise of spiritual Life by the Communion of the holy Ghost, both of the life of grace in this world, and of the eternal life of glory in the world to come: so had all the Fathers from the

begin-

beginning. As we have the promise of a true right and title to all earthly bleffings also in Christ; so also had they. As God is given to us in Christ to be our portion; So he by Covenant gave himselfe to them to be their God. As we have Christ God and man given unto us to be our Saviour, and his righteousnetse and obedience, with all the merits of his death, to be apprehended by faith for our justification; so had they from the first time of the promise. All this the Apostle sheweth most plainly, Heb. it, where he theweth that the forefathers did by faith receive not onely carthly bleffings, as the Land of Caman, deliverance from enemies and oppressors, safety from the flood; out also they embraced the promises of a better life, and of a better counrry, even an heavenly, and God is not ashamed to be called their God, for he buth prepared for them a city.ver.16. They received lefus Christ by faith, and did so firmely beleeve in him, that they esteamed reproach for his sake greater riches then all earthly trea-(seres. fures, vers. 26. they by faith became heires of his righteou(nesse, vers. 7. and Act. 15.11. we (faith the Apostle) believe to be saved by the grace of our Lord lesus Christ, even as they.

4.

Fourthly, the Covenant made with the Fathers agrees with the Covenant now under the Gospell, in one and the same condition on mans behalfe, to wir, the perfect righteoufrelle of the Law, and perfect obedience to the whole revealed will of God, performed not by everybeleever himselte, but by his Mediatour Iesus Christ, God and man, in mans nature. This righteousnesse was made theirs, and is made ours by one and the same meanes, even by communion of the Spirit, and by true faith laying hold upon it, applying it, and offering it up to God. Both the righteousnelle and the meanes by which it is made ours, are free gifts and graces of God both to the Fathers and us. Neither they were, nor we are fuffi. cient of our felves, or fit to performe any thing for falvation, or to receive salvation when it is offred free-

ly; all our will, all our sufficiency, and all our fitnetseis of God, and ever hath beene. And therefore howfoever Christ his righteousnesse and Satisfaction made unto God in the nature of man, may in respect of Christ our head be called a condition of falvation which God required on mans behalfe: yet in respect of us and the Fathers also, it is rather a part of the bleffing, and one of the free promises in the Covenant, and at our hands God requires no condition at all, but such as he himselfe doth freely of his grace performe and worke in us and for us. And therefore as the Covenant which God hath now made with us, fo also that Covenant with the Fathers before the Law was fordus gratuitum, a free Covenant of Grace.

Fiftly, the Covenants both Old and New agree in the Seales divers waves.

First, as in that Old, so in this New, outward Seales and Signes are required for to seale and confirme them.

Se-

3

Secondly, as their feales did fignifietheshedding of Christs Blood, and his curled death for mans sinne, also mortification and fanctification; fo doe the seales of Baptisme and the Lords Supper, which are annexed to our Covenant. As their Seales did both teach the manner of mans redemption, and also did serve to confirme their faith in i ; fo doe ours both iet be oreus Christs death and obedience, and our communion with him, and aifo confirme our faith and confidence in hun . As their Sacraments were parts of their protession, and were testimonies of their love to God, and were accounted Gods worship; so are ours. As their Sacraments did diftinguish them from Pa gans, Infidels and all strange Sects; lo doe ours. As their Sacraments had God their Authour; to ours. Ours and theirs are both Seales of the righteoulnelle of Fach, both are effectuall to beleevers onely, both have the fame effects, increase of faith, hope, confidence, love, charity among men, and the .ike. Thus farre there

two Covenants agree in the Seales.

Lastly, they agree in the generall succelle, effect and sufficiency; for both ofthem have had good succette, and taken effect, and bin sufficient to beget grace in the Elect, & to bring all true beleevers to eternall alvarion and bleffednesse: As the Covenant plainely revealed in the Gospell, brings all true Christians to beleeve in Christ and to finde comfort and falvation in his Mediation. Interceffion, Righteousnelle, Resurrection, and victory over death : So by the Covenant made of Old with the Fathers: Adam, Abel, Enochand Noah, were brought to beleeve in Christ, and were faved ! Enoch by faith in Christ was translated; Noah by faith made the Arke to the faving of himselfe and his houshold; Abraham faw by faith the day of Christ, and by beleeving in him was justified; Ich rejoyced that Christ God would plead for man with God, and the Son of man for his friend and neighbour, 10b 16.21. and professed his faith and confidence in the Refurrection

6

Hebr. II.

Ioh. 8.55.

of Christ his Redeemer, Isb 19.25.

CHAP. XIII.

The difference betweene the Covenant made with the Fathers, and the Covenant with us.

Hey differ divers wayes. The I. Differ. first, which is indeed the greatest difference of all, is in respect of the darknetse and obscurity of the one, and the plainnelle and perspicuity of the other. The Covenant with the Fathers was every way, and in every point more darke and obscure, involved in types and shadowes of Christ. The Covenant in the Gospell is plaine and perspicuous, ir removes the vaile, and shewes Christ the substance with open face. In the Old Covenant the leverity of Gods justice, and his just wrath and enmity against sinnedid not so plainely appeare, because the effect of them was not made manifest upon his owndear Sonne our Mediatour, untill he came to fuffer actually fuch ignominy, reproach

proach, agonies, and a most ignominious and curfed death for our finnes which he tooke upon him to beare. and which were imputed to him, and bunished in him our furery: Gods not sparing him, but afflicting him with all his ftormes, and delivering him up to hellish paines and agonies, and to a curfed death, doe wonderfully thew his infinite wrath against finne, which was but flenderly and darkely revealed to the Fathers in Types and Figures in the flaughter of Beafts, and burning of sinne-offerings. So likewise, though in the Old Testament we reade of God, and some mention of his Sonne, Pfalm. 2.12. Prov.30. 4. and of the Spirit of God, and doe finde many phrases which fignifie more persons then one or two in one Iehovah: yet the Mystery of the Trinity was not so fully revealed, as now it is in the Gofpell, wherein weehave plaine affirmation of three diffinct persons, the Father, the Son, and the holy Ghoft, in the unity of Gods effence, and all the three are faid to be one, though

by distinct properties and divers works they are described unto us severally, and distinguished one from another. And hereby we see that the new Covenant of the Gospel is more plaine, and the old more darke, in respect of the parties God and man betweene whom the Covenants are made.

Secondly, in the old, Christ the Mediatour was darkly shadowed out to the Fathers; they had onely this knowledge of Christ, that they should be faved by a Mediator, that this Mediciour thould be the feed of the woman, that he should be the Archangell or Prince of Angels, and Emanuel, God with us, yea, and should be called the mighty God, and should make atonement for sinne, and bring in eternall righteoufneile : But how God and man should in him become one person, how God in him should be incarnate and humbled, and thand in our place, and beare our fins, how he should fulfill the law in every particular point, how he should fatifhe lustice, and suffer the wrath of God

Ifay 7.& 9

Dan.9.

God; thesethings were not distinctly, nor fully revealed unro them, only the extraordinary Prophets had fome forelight of them, and did more plainely at sometimes describe some of them. But now in the Goipel wee fee the person of our Saviour, and his two Natures most plainly set forth beforeus, the manner of his Birth and Incarnation, the personal union of his Natures, the manner of his obedience, death, and satisfaction, and the particular uses of them, as also the vertue of his refurrection and afcenfion. And therefore the new Covenant is more plaine in respect of the Mediatour. Thirdly ail the promises of eternall life and Salvation, and the condition on mans behalfe, how and after what manner it should be performed; also thethings signified and confirmed by the scales, were farre more darke and objeure in the old Covenant. But in the new Covenant of the Golpel, all these things are so plaine, that even chil dren may learne and understand them. And thus in all respects, and in all parts the

the Old was more obscure, and the New is more plaine. And this is the first, and the maine difference. Out of this there doe arise two other, even a second and third difference betweene these Covenants.

Differ.2.

The one which is the fecond in order, is a difference in the parties received into the Covenants. The old Covenant, because of dimnesse and obscurity, did shine forth but a little, and gave light onely to them who were neare at hand; and hereupon it came to palle that it reached to a very few; fometimes but to one or two families, and when it was in greatest force, but to one Nation and people of the world. But the new Covenant in brightneffe of knowledge, and plainenelle of revelation doth shine like the Sunne, and gives light farre and necre to all Nations, even to them that face in darkeneffe, and in the shadow of death. And hereupon it comes to palle, that people of all Nations are received into this Covenant, and the parties which now enter league with God, are not some few

few men, or some one Nation, but all Nations and people of the world, God is one party, and all Nations of the earth are the other party.

A third difference confifts in the power, efficacy, succetse, and effect which is divers in these two Covenants; For howbeit they agree in these generally, because both of them have had successe, taken effect, and beene of power to bring many to salvation (as is before noted:) Yet by reason of the obscurity of the old, it hath taken lelle effect, and beene of leffe power. And the new by meanes of plainenetle and light, hath brought with it more excellent gifts, and more abundance of grace to many, and hath beene of greater force, power and efficacy, and the Spirit hath wrought more powerfully by it. For (as the Apostle saith) faith, which is, as it were, the roote of other graces, commeth by hearing, and hearing by the Word: where the Word is more plainely preached and heard with understanding, there must needs be greater knowledge and faith, and there

Differ. 3.

there the Spirit must needes worke more powerfully and effectually, and the wall graces more abundantly in the hearers. Hereupon it comes to palle, that the Old Covenant did worke but weakely in all, except thosethat were ex a rdinarily called andenlightened, because of the obscurity of it, and unfirmelle to beget knowledge and faith. But by vertue of the New the Lord writes his Law in our hearts, and makes us all know himmore fully, Ier, 31. 33. and doth poure out his Spirit with aboundance of Crace upon all flesh, Ioel 2.28

Differ 4.

A fourth difference is in the circumstance of the promises and gitts. The old Covenant did promise life and salvation in Christ, who then was to come. And Christ who is the foundation of all the promises, though he had then taken upon him to worke mans redemption, and his future death, and obedience were actually in force from the beginning, able to save all believers; yet he was not actually come in the slesh, neither

ther had actually performed these things forman. But the new Cove nant doth promise salvation and all blessings in Christ being already come in the sless. And Christ hath actually performed all things which were needfull for our redemption, and we are by the new Covenant made partakers of his sacrifice already offered, and his right cousnets already performed for its.

A fifth difference arifeth rom the order and mixture of the promifes. The old Covenant did first and chie ely promifeearthly and temporall bleffings, as deliverance from bodily enemies and dangers, and plenty of world y goods, as houses, lands, wealth, riches, encrease of children. length of dayes and fuch like, and in and under these it did signifie and promise all spirituall bleffings and Salvation But the new Covenant promifeth Christ and his bleffings spirituall in the first place, and after them earthly bleffings. First it brings us to the Kingdon e of God, and the righteousnelle thereof, and then it ministers

Differ.5.

ministers other things unto us. Againe the old Covenant abounded in earthly promises of worldly blessings, but had sew promises of spirituals and heavenly blessed altogether on heavenly rewards, and promises of spirituals blessings, and promises of spirituals blessings, and hath but sew promises of temporals and worldly good things. And thus both the order of the promises, and the unequals mixture of earthly and heavenly blessings, doe make another difference betweene the old and new Covenant.

Differ. 6.

Sixely, they differ in the outward matter of the seales, the outward rites, and in the order of Sealing. The seals of the old Covenant were many, and those laborious, costly, heavy and burdensome; circumcision was painfull, sacrifices were costly, and the many oblations, offerings, and purifications, were a burden too heavy for the sathers to beare. But the seales of the new are sew, and but two, the least number that can be, and those very easie without toyle or cost, or paint

Act. 15.

pame of body or minde. The matter of the old feales were oxen, sheepe, goats, birds, incense, odours, calves, lambes, cutting of the flesh, shedding of the blood, burning, and killing of divers creatures. The matter of the new seales is onely water sprinkled, and Bread and Wine broken, powred out, distributed, eaten, and drunken, and this is all that the feales differ much in outward matter; also in the order of sealing : for the old was first typically sealed with shadowes, and after with the substance, Christs Body and Blood: The new was scaled first with Christs blood and death, and is now fealed by the outward fignes dayly in the Sacraments.

f

of

oc

ne

rd

:5,

he

y,

nd

n-

he

ıri-

for

s of

the

ose

10

aine

Lastly, they differ in perpetuity. For though the substance of both is one and the same, eternall and unchangable; yet the forme and manner of making and sealing is changable in the old, but is in the new perpetuall. The old Covenant hath new words added to it, even the new Testament; and the outward seales are abolished, and new put in their place:

Differ. 7.

Buc

But to the words of the new Covenant no more or plainer words shalbe added, neither shall the outward seales thereof be altered, but shall remaine till the comming of the Lord. And therefore the old is but in substance onely; but the new is in all respects perpetuals and unchangeable. Thus much both of the agreement and the difference betweene the old and new Covenant of grace.

CHAP. XIIII.

Use.

Isft, the agreement which is between these two Covenants of grace, doth serve to assure us, that all the faithfull forefathers, from the beginning, did partake of the same graces with us, and had sellowship and communion of the same spirit, with one and the same lesus Christ, and were justified by his righteous nesse, and saved eternally by faith in him, even as we are at this day. If some in them could have hindred the worke of Gods grace, so it might doe in us;

for we are sinners as well as they, and God hach as just a quarrell against us. If our Mediator be of power to fave eternally, then must they also needs be faved as well as we; for they had the fame Chrift. He was yesterday, isco day, and shall be the same for ever. If Gods promises be true, & if they cannot faile; furely they had the fame in Substance which we have I falvacion doth rest upon the condition of righceousnelle, they had the same which we have, even the righteousnetse of God in Chrift, and by the same faith they did partake of it. If seales can helpe any thing at all, they had them also as well as we. And if we may judge of the power of the Covenant, by the successe and effect in some persons, we shall find, that Enoch and Eliah were by the grace of the Old Covenant faved even from bodily death, and taken up into heaven and happinetse. And therefore let this confideration of the unty and agreement which is betweene the new and old Covenant of grace, admonishus not to be puffed up with pride. G 2

pride, & a falle conceipt; as if we onely under the Gospel were respected of God, & saved by faith in Iesus Christ. Let this teach us to thinke reverently of the Fathers in the Old time, and love and reverence the name and remembrance of them as Saints glorified in heaven, spirituall members of the same Christ, and partakers of the same grace with us. But above all let. this enflame our hearts with a deadly hatred and derestation of all those heretickes, and their doctrine, as the Manicheans, Anabaptists, Antinomians, blasphemous Servetus, and the rest who have not beene ashamed to teach boldly; that the fathers did never partake of faving grace in Christ, neither were under the same Covenant of life with us; but onely were fed with temporall promises, and earthly bleffings, as hoggs and calves for the saughter. And let us count the Popish fiction of Limbus patrum a doating dreame, justly to be abhorred of all true Christians as a loathsome abomination.

Secondly, the differences noted betweene

tween the old, and new Covenant of grace, serve to magnifie in our eyes Gods extraordinary love and bounty towards us who now live in the light of the Gospel. Though the Fathers were fed with the true Bread of life, yet in a small measure, and more coarse manner prepared; and though the light of life thined to them, yet it was dimly through clouds and mists. The tast and sight which they had of Christ, did in this life more increase their hunger, then satisfie their appetite, and more increase their thirst a ter him. They had few examples, and present patternes of holy men to follow; the number of beleevers was small, and so there were but few helpes and encouragements in true Religion. The gifts of the ipirit were rare, scarce to be found in two or three among a great multitude; and those gifts of knowledge, faith, and heavenly wisedome, which those few had, were small, and not so emment. But the Lord hath opened to us the windowes and floodgates of heaven, and rained downe more abundantly

abundantly all bleffings upon our heads, he hath made the river of life, which glads the citie of God, flowamong us in full streames; he harh fed us to the full with the bread of life: so that Hypocrites begin like Israel to loath his heavenly Manna: we live in the glorious light, and see Christ clearly; we have many examples of godly men on every fide round about us to provoke us, many patternes to worke by , much encouragement, plenty of all gifts of learning, knowledge, wisedome, Faith, love and the like. Now how comes this to patte? Is it because we are better then our forefathers, or because wee have better deserved? Surely in no case; for they were by many degrees more excellent in naturall gifts then we, leffe rebellious, and more ready to make good use of small meanes, then we are of greatest. Which of usdares compare with Exoch, Noah, Abraham, or David? As the world grows old, and we grow weake in bodily strength, and low in stature; so westill grow more and more strong

in corruption and in frowardnelle of heart; And the Lords mercy and bounty is so much greater to us then to them, becau e we are further from deferving any mercy then they were, and do deserve more milery. The onely thing wherein we are better then they, is this; that the Lord hath shewed more goodnesse to us then them: wherefore let us all confelle and say to the glory of God, that it is his mercy not our merit, to him belongs all the praise. It is not of him that willeth, nor in him that runneth, but in God that the weth mercy. To him be glory and honour for ever world without end.

CHAP. XV.

Tow having laid downe the agreement, and difference betweene the new and old Covenant of
grace, that is, the Covenant as it was
made with the Fathers before the
law, and the Covenant as it is now
plainly published in the Gospel, It
G 4 followes

followes now that I should shew the agreement and difference betweene the same pure and plaine Covenant of grace in the Gospel, and the mixt Covenant weh God made with Ifrael on mount Horeb by the Ministery of Moses which consisted partly of the Covenant of workes, and partly of the Covenant of grace (as is before noted.) If I should insift upon all the Differences and Agreements which are betweene thefe two Covenants, I should repeat all the agreements and differences which I have before declared to be betweene the Covenant of nature and of grace, and also betweene the old Covenant of grace and the new. For the first part of the Covenant which God made with Israel at Horeb, was nothing elfe but a renewing of the old Covenant of works which God made with Adam in Paradife. And the fecond part which God made with them, first obscurely when he gave them by Moses the Leviticall Lawes, and ordeined the rabernacle, the Ark, and the mercy scate, which were types

of Christ; and secondly more plainly in the plaines of Moab which is fet downein the book of Deuteronomie; this was nothing elfe but a renewing of the Covenant of grace which he had before made with their Fathers, Adam, Abraham, Ifaac, and Iacob. And therefore the fame agreements which I have before shewed to be betweene the Covenant of nature and of grace, the same are betweene the first part of the Covenant which God made at mount Sinai, and the Covenant under which we now live in the Gospel. Likewise there are the same differences, one only excepted; for whereas in the first Covenant of nature God and man were friends, both just and righteous, both lovers, and neither of them offended; now in renewing the fame Covenant with Ifrael, the parties were at variance, for God was provoked to wrath, and man by finne was become an enemy, even as they were at the making of the Covenant of grace.

In like maner, if we consider the fecond part of the Covenant made G & with

with Israel, it being the same with the old Covenant of grace, we shall finde betweene it and the new Covenant of the Gospel, the same agreements and differences which I have last before shewed to be betweene the old and new Covenant of grace. Wherefore I will now take the whole Covenant which God made with all Israel by the ministery of Moses, as it consists of both these parts joyntly together, and so I will compare it with the Covenant of the Gospel, and shew the agreement and difference betweene them.

And first for the things wherein they agree, besides those before named, wherein the parts of the Covenant made with Israel, doe agree with the covenant of the Gospel, I find but two onely. First, they agree in the maine and principall end, namely the revelation of the glory of the goodnesse, justice, and mercy of God in mans salvation; at this they both agree. Secondly, they both agree in this, that both of them doe promise unto us justification.

Agree.1,

Agree, 2

iustification and salvation in Christ, and both require in us a continuall endeavour to fulfill the whole law as neare as we can every man in his own person. For although Christ is the end and fulfilling of the law for righteousnesse to all true beleevers; yet after that we are justified by his righteousnelle, it is required in every one of us, that we should labour to avoid every sinneagainst the Law, and doe all holy duties which the law requires, so farre as we are able : this we promise in Baptisme; and whosoever doth wilfully live, and continue in any fin, and purposely abstaine from good when occalion is offered, and omirs holy duties which the law requires, as observing of the Sabbath. hearing of the word, and fuch like, we count him a carnall man, and he hath no part as yet in the Covenant of grace. For he that is justified, is also mortified, and sanctified, and cannot purposely continue in any sin of omission or commission.

CHAP

1

CHAP. XVI.

The Differences.

Bare many and great. First, they differ in the manner of requiring obedience to the law, and exacting good workes. The Covenant of Moles requires, that a man shold first endeayour to fulfill the whole law, that thereby he may be justified, and live; and if he cannot do fo, that then he should flie to sacrifices for sinne, and free-will offerings, and in them, as in types, to Christ and his righteousnelle and obedience, that there he may finde that which by the law he cannot obtaine. But the Covenant of the Gospel requires that a man should first renounce himselfe, and all his owne righteousnesse, and seeke falvation and righteoufnessein Christ by faith, and that being justified by gracein Christ, he should by way of thankfulnesse labour to the utmost,

to

to bring forth all fruites of holinesse, righteousnesse, and obedience to all Gods commandements, and that for this end, that he may glorise God, adorne his profession, and be more and more assured of his communion with Christ, and lincere love to God.

Secondly, these Covenants differ in matter and substance. The matter and substance of the Covenant made by the Ministery of Moses, it was mixt, it was partly conditionall, and partly absolute; partly legall, and partly Evangelicall; it required to justification both workes and faith, but after a divers manner, and it was a mixt Covenant of two divers Covenants, both the Covenant of Workes, and the Covenant of Grace.

First, it required workes, that men should doe the workes of the Law and live, and this it did by way of the sirst Covenant. For the morall Law written in two Tables of stone, and consisting of theten Commandements which God spake from mount Sinai, is called by the name of

a Co-

a Covenant, Dent. 4. 13. He declared to you (faith Moses there) his Covenant which he commanded you to performe, even ten Commandements, and he wrote them upon two Tables of Stone, and Deuter. 9. vers. 9. These swo Tables are called the tables of the Covenant: by these testimonies it is plain that the law was given to Israel as a Covenant which required obedience for justification and life.

Secondly, this Covenant given by Mofes, promised Christ, and required that wheloever they failed intheir obedience to the Law, they should flee to facrifices and finne-offerings, which were Types of Christ, and did prefigure, signifie and seale his fatiffaction and atonement for linne, and that by faith they should seeke righteousnelle and satisfaction in him. and shoul rest upon those promises which God made with their Fathers, that in Christ the bleffed feed all Nations of the earth should be bleffed. And this is the fecond, even the Evangelicall part of the Covenant, and

and is called by the name of another Covenant, Deut. 20.2. For indeed this is the Covenant of Grace, as the other part is the Covenant of Works. This Go D propounds absolutely, the other is conditionall, that a man shall doe it if hee can, and if hee can doe it hee shall live; if hee cannot, that he should flee by faith to Christ, foreshadowed in types, and promised to the Fathers. Thus the Covenant which God made with Ifrael, was not a simple, but a mixt Covenant, and the matter of it was mixt. But the Covenant of Grace in the Gospell is simple without mixture, and propounds no other way to falvation, but onely in and through Iefus Christ; no justification but that which is by faith in Christs obedience, without our owne workes. This is a second difference.

The rest of the maine differences are plainely said downe by the Apostle Paul, 2 Cor. 3. One is, that the Covenant which God made with strael, was an old Covenant. For it is called by the Apostle making of affine,

Differ.3

ver/.14.

wers. 14. But the Covenant made with all Nations by the Gospell, is called resim or ablum, the New Covenant, wers. 6. Now the Covenant with Israel may truely bee called Old, and is so indeed in respect of the Covenant under the Gospell, for two reasons.

First, because the legall part of it, which was the Covenant of Worker laid downe in the ten Commande ments of the Law written in Tables of Stone, is in substance all one with the first Covenant which God made with Man in the state of Innocency; the summe of both is that one thing, Doe this and live.

Secondly, because the Evangelical part of it, which promised life and righteous nelle in Christ the promised seed, was given after the old manner, as it was to the Fathers before the Law, that is, in generall, darke and obscure promises, & did shew Christ onely afarre off, to come in the latter ages of the world. But the Covenant of the Gospel is every way new. It is made with us after a new maner;

It

It sheweth Christ already come, and that most plainely, and it hath no reliques of the Old Covenant of works in it, but teacheth justification by faith without works, even by communion of Christ and of his righteousnesses alone, without any concurrence of our own righteousnesses and workes of the Law concurring for justification.

Another difference weh the Apostle makes betweene these Covenants, is, that the one is the Letter, the other the Spirit. For so he affirmes, ver. 6 Now the reasons of this are two especially: The first reason why the Covenant with Ifrael is called the letter and the Covenant of the Gospel the Spirit, is because Moses who was the mediator of the Covenant with Ifrael, did give onely the Letter of the Covenant, that is, the Law and the Covenant written in Tables and in Letters, but he could not give the Spirit to make them understand the Covenant, nor any inward grace and ability to make them keepe it. But Christ the Mediator, by whose Ministery the Covenant

Differ. 4.

venant of the Gospell is given, bath also the Holy Ghost in himselfe without measure, which Spirit he by his Word, and together with the word of the Covenant, sends into our hearts, and enables us to beleeve and to keepe the Covenant. And as Iohn the Baptist, comparing himselfe and his ministery with the ministery of Christ, faith, I baptize you with water, but he shall baptize you with the Holy Ghost and with fire; that is, I give onely the outward signe, but be gives the inward grace: So it may be faid of Mofes and Cirift, that Mo. fer gave onely the letter or writing of the Covenant; but Christ gives the word, and with it the Spirit of Grace also, which makes it effectuall to salvation. And therefore the Covenant. as it proceeds from Moses, and comes byhis Ministery, is but a letter; but that which Christ gave as Mediatour, is the Spirit.

Another Reason may be drawne from the manner of giving. Moses gave the Covenant written in Letters which many could see, but could not

read;

read; and many could read, and could nor understand; and many could understand literally, after a naturall and carnall manner according to the proper literall fense, but they could not understand the words spiritually according to the spiritual sense, they could not see nor discerne the true scope, end, and use of the Words. But Christ did preach the Covenant of the Gospell, by a lively voyce, in words easie to be understood, which did not onely found in the eares, but also pierce into the hearts and spirits of the hearers, and did thew not onely the matter, but also the manner, end, and use of every thing, and how the Law and Commandements doe not onely binde the outward man, and require the outward act; but also do binde the inward man, even the foule and spirit, and doe require all holy thoughts, motions & dispositions of the heart and foul: and thus the words of the New Covenant are fit Instruments of the Spirit, and the Spirit doth worke powerfully by them.

Another difference laid downe by

Differ.5.

the Apostle, verse 13, 14, 18.is, that there was a vaile before the Covenant with Ifrael, which hindred their fight, so that the people could not looke into the end, norfee the right use of the Law and the ceremonies thereof. But the Covenant of the Gospell is given with much evidence of speech, and therein we all with openface behold, as in a glaffe, the glory of the Lord. Now this vaile confifted of two parts: The first was the darkneffe and blindneffe of their hearts, and the weaknetle of their fight: The fecond was the obscurity and darknetle of the Covenant it felfe, which both in respect of the words, and also of the Seales, the Types and Figures, was very darke, and hard to be understood: First, the people themselves were naturally by reason of original corruption blinde and ignorant, and not able to see the right end and use of the Law and Covenant; yea, their fight was fo weake, that they could no more looke upon Gods glory, then the weake eye of a man can looke upon the

the bright Sunne when it shineth in full strength; and therefore being not able to looke upon the glory of God shining in the Covenant, they could in no case see into the end and use of it, and so their owne weakenesse and blindnesse was a vaile unto them, and is this day to all the Iewes, till their hearts be converted to the Lord, verf. 16. and till he powres out his Spirit on them. Secondly, the words of the Covenant were spoken, and the Seales and Ceremonies ordained after such an obscure manner. that a vaile of darknetle did hang over them, till Christ by his actuall fulfilling of them, and by the words of the New Covenant in the Gospel, did make all plaine, and pull away the vaile of darkneffe. This obscurity of the Covenant proceeded from three speciall causes; the first was Gods hiding and concealing of his purpole in the giving of the Law. For his purpose in giving the Morall Law, was not that Ifrael should doe it and be justified thereby, which after mans fall and corruption is impossible; but

but onely to teach them and us what is true and perfect righteousnelle which leadeth unto life, and to make all men exprime themselves by it as by a rule; that by it finding themfelves destriute of righteoufnetle, and utterly unable to performe righteoufnetle, they might be driven out of themselves, and so prepared to receive Chrift, and embrace his righteoulnetle. Also Gods purpose and counfell in giving the Ceremoniall law, was not that men should performethem as any part of righteoufnelle to justification; neither did he ordaine them to be of themselves purgations from sinne, and expiations of iniquity, but onely to be Types foreshadowing Christ, and his all-sufficient sacrifice, and seales of the Covenane weh did feal it, not by any vertue in them, but by vertue of Christs which they fignified. Now though this was Gods counsell and purpose ingiving the law morall and Ceremoniall, yet he did conceale, and not in plaine words exprelle it; he told them not that he meant by putting them

them upon the performance of the law, to make them find out their own weaknetle and infufficiency, and thereupon flee to Christ the end of the law, and the substance of the Ceremonies and facrifices:

But contrarily he required their performance of the Law for the obtaining of life, and did so speake as though it had beene possible for them to sulfill it, and to be justified thereby; and so they commonly did understand his words erroniously, even as the Papists doe at this day, thinking that God would never have commanded them to doe the Law, if hee had not knownethat it was in their power to doe it, as he commanded; and this was the first cause of the obscurity of that Covenant.

The second cause, was the mixture of the legall part of the Covenant with the Evangelicall, and the joyning of them both as it were in one continued speech. For first God required by the morall law, that they should do it for the obtaining of life; then immediatly he addes unto it the

d

ceremoniall

ceremoniall law, and ordained facrifices for fin (which did declare them to be sinners, and so destitute of righteousnetse) and gave them divers types and shadowes of Christ, and by that law he required obedience and doing upon paines of death and cutting off, so that the people of Israel did still imagine themselves to be in the Covenant of workes; and from that manner of speech used by God, and from the title of laws and statutes which God gave to the Ceremonies, and from the words before going, they gathered that the facrifices, oblations, and other rites were rather laws to be observed for righteousnelle, then scales of the Covenant of grace, and lignes of Christ and his righteoufnelle; they thought the use of them to confift in doing, not in fignifying, and stirring up of faith to lay hold on Christ: and this was a second cause of the darknetle of that Covenant.

The third cause was the great penurie, and scarcitie of Evangelicall promises in that Covenant, and the great inequality and disproportion

which

which was betweene them and the legall Commandements of Workes. For in that Covenant we finde few promises of life & salvation, but only upon condition of Workes. Christ is very seldome pointed at in plaine words. The Evangelical promises as they are rare & very few in all the Bookes of the Law which God gave them by Moses; so they are either very generall, or else very obscure, more then those which were given to the Fathers long before: But the Legall Commandements and Promiles are many, and those very plaine in every place.

And this was a speciall cause which made the people of Israel to misconstrue the meaning of that Covenant, and to thinke that all salvation was to be obtained by Workes; and thus the Covenant was obscure, and the end thereof was hid from their sight, they could not understand the true use of the Types and Ceremonies. But the Covenant of the Gospell is made in such plain words, and doth after such a lively manner

-1

(et

fet forth Christ and his perfect Ransome, satisfaction and righteousnelle unto us, and the true way to justification and salvation by faith in him, that the most simple may understand it; and with that plaine Doctrine and multitude of Promises the Spirit of God workes powerfully, and is given by Christ in such measure to all forts of people, that the darkenesse of their hearts is abolished also, and fo there is no vaile, neither over their hearts, nor over the Covenant it selfe; but as Christ is plainely offered in the Word, fo their hearts are enlightned and enabled to looke on his glory, and they are transformed into the same image; and hereupon there comes to be great difference in this respect betweene the Covenant of the Gospell, and the Covenant of the Law which God gave by Moles.

From these two last Differences, there doe arise others which are there laid downe by the Apostle also; to wit, That the Old Covenant of the Law is the ministery of death, but the

Cove-

Covenant of the Gospell is the miniftery of the Spirit and of Lite, 2 Cor. 3.7. The Old is the occasion of finne, and fo the ministery of condemnation; the New, of righteoufnelle to justification. The Old brings bondage, the New liberty. The Old is leffe glorious, and yet dazled the eyes of the Ifraelites, that they could not looke on it fled faftly; The New is full of glory, and yet we can behold in it with open face the glory of God, verse 18. Thele particular Differences are all named and noted by the Apostle, and they doe arise from the two last going before. For Reason tells us, that because the Old Covenant was given by the Ministery of Moses a fraile man, and was darke and obscure, tubject to be misconstrued, and was not plainely preached by lively voyce, but onely written in dead Letters in Tables of Stone; therefore it was no fit instrument for the Spirit to worke by; the Spirit did not worke by it fuch plenty of Knowledge, Faith, and other Graces. It did onely H 2 flicw thew them what they should do, but enabled them not to do any thing, rather made them more finfull, in provoking their corrupt natureweh more lusts after evils forbidden; & it made their fins more wilfull, which before were done in ignorance; and thus it became the Ministery of Sin, Death, and Condemnation unto them. It also brought them into bondage, by shewing them their savish condition, & giving them no grace to flee from that miserable estate. It dazled their eyes, because it shewed them the glorious Majestie & Iustice of God; but gave them not the Grace of the Spirit, to ftrengthen their fight, to looke with boldnesse and comfort upon Gods majesticall justice. But because the Covenant of the Gospell is made in plain words, and given by a Mediatour who hath also the disposing of the Spirit, & dispensing of Spirituall Grace ; therefore it is a fit instrument for the Spirit to worke by, & the Spirit goeth forth in great power, by, and with the publication of it, which regenerates men, & renues their hearts, knits

knits the into one Body with Christ, gives them the Communion of all his Righteousnesse and Obedience to justification of Life, frees them from all feare and bondage, makes them run freely and willingly in the way to life, and in the pathes of Gods Commandements; enables them to stand boldly before the glorious Tribunall of Gods Iustice, and gives them an heavenly eye-falve to their fight, that they may stedfastly behold GoDs glory in the face of lefus Christ. And thus in those respects those two Covenants doe much differ betweene themselves.

The last difference is named by the Apostic in the 11 verse, and it is this, That the Covenant of the Law given by Moses, and the glory thereof vanishes, and is done away: but the Covenant of the Gospell, and the glory thereof abideth for ever. Which Difference is thus to be understood, not that the substance of the Law, or the righteousnesse thereof ceaseth at any time, neither that the Evangelical promises which were intermingled

termingled in that Covenant, are abolished rogether with the Types and Ceremonies. These things are in no case to be granted: for the Law of God is an eternall rule of Truth and Iustice,& by the righteous nesse, obedience and fulfilling thereof all the Elect shall be justified, and saved for ever. This our Saviour testifieth, faying, Think not that I am come to destroy the Law, but to fulfillit; for verily till heaven and earth passe, not one jot or title of the Law shall passe, Mat. 5.17. Also his bleffed Apostle, Rom. 3.2 1. Doe me then make vosdthe Lam through faith? God forbid; yea, wee-Stabilb the Law, & Rom. 10.4 Christ is the end or fulfilling of the Law for righteensness to every beleever. And if we rightly consider the Ceremonies and the promises given to Israel, wee shall perceive that Christ was the Body& substance of them all; and therefore so long as hee abideth, the substance of them abideth firme & sure, and doth not vanish. Wherefore the Law & Covenant weh God gave by Moses doth vanish and is abolished First, onely in three respects.

First, in respect of the extreme rigourthereof; for as it was given to Itrael it required obedience of every man in his owne person to justification and life; but now it onely requires that a man have that righteousnelle which is a perfect conformity to it, though performed by his furery and mediatour, and that shall sufficiently save him. Before it did require perfect righteousnelle, upon paine of damnation, performed by every man himselte, and threatned a curse to every breach of it. Now it bindes a man himselfe to performe no more then he is able; if hee doth his best, and brings a willing minde, God accepts the will for the deed : because now we are not to obey the Law for justification; Christ hath done that for us. Now we are to obey it in thankfulnetse and in imitation of Christ, that we may be conformable to his Image, and by bolinesse made fit to see God, and to injoy the inheritance which Christ hath purchased for us.

Secondly, the Law and Covenant H 4 given

give by Moses is abolished in respect of the outward administration. Their obedience to the morall Lawwas first preached; and afterwards the facrifice of Christ was promised in types and figures. But now Christ is first preached, and then after justification in him, the Law is fet as a rule to walk by in the wayes of fanctification; and also to flew how it is impossible to finde perfect righteousnes. & to be justified and faved, but only in Christ. There the promises were let forth and sealed darkly in types and figures, but now these figures and ceremonies are ceafed, and Christ the Substance of them is fer forth naked in his owne colours before our eyes.

Thirdly, the Covenant given by Moses may be said to vanish and be abolished in respect of the light and glory of it. For the light and glory of it, which it then had, is swallowed up of the great light of the Gospell. The glory of it was but like a dimme light or candle, but the glory of the Gospell is like the light of the Sunne at noone day, so

that

that before it the light of the Law is put out, and appeares no more then the light of a Candle in the bright Sun-shine. Now the Apostle tels us, that, When that which is perfect is come then that which is in part is abolished. And in our common speech we say, that the brightnes of the Sun destroyeth and putteth out the light of a Candle, that it is as good as nothing; and so wee may in the same fense say, that the Covenant of the Law is abolished in respect of the light and glory of it; For the glory of it which was but in part is I wallowed up by the great light of the glorious Gospell. But the Covenant of the Gospell abideth in all respects firme and sure for ever, and we must never expect a plainer renewing of it to the end of the world. And thus I have out of the holy Scriptures, and especially from the words of the Apostle discovered plainely the agreement and difference betweene the mixt Covenant which God nade with Ifrael by Mafes, and the pure and simple Covenant H 5 of .

1 Cor.13.

of Grace made with all Nations in the Gospell, and published by Christ and his holy Apostles and Evangelists.

CHAP. XVII.

Vse.

He confideration whereof difcovers to us the fingular providence of God in ordering the world, and his wonderfull wisedome, goodnelle and mercy in preparing and giving meanes of grace and falvation fitted for the people of every Age according to their feverall dispositions, and the necessity of every Age and generation. In the first ages next unto the state of Innocency, when men lived divers hundreds of yeeres, and had the helpes of long obfervation and great experience, befides the inftructions and historical relations of long-lived Progenitors; who, as eye and eare-wirnelles, could from Adam, Metholbelah and Noah, rehearfe Gods great workes trom the Creation, and teach them the

the knowledge of God; then the Lord dealt more sparingly, and afforded but small and rare meanes, even a few visions, revelations, and generall and obscure promises to turne men from their owne wayes, and draw them to seeke salvation inhim. But when mens ages and lives were shortned by the increase of corruption, and by mens multiplying of iniquity, and growing more hard, stubborne, and rebellious; The Lord, to the former promises made to the Fathers, added a fiery Law which he gave from mount Sinai, in thunder and lightening, and with a terrible voyce to the stubborne and stiffenecked Ifraelices; whereby to breake and tame them, and to make them figh and long for the promifed Redeemer, when they were pressed with the bondage of the Law, and with the intolerable burden of Rites and Ceremonies. And when after many ages they were growne to desperately rebellious, that they scorned Gods Mellengers, rejected his Lawes and

Commandements; misused persecuted his extraordinary Prophets, who wrought wonders in their fight, and flew his fervants which he fent unto them; Then at last hee feat his fonne in whom hee fulfilled all the promises made to the Fathers, who also sulfilled the Law both Morall and Ceremoniall, and made reconciliation for sinne and iniquity, and brought in eternall righteousnesse, and hath made with all the world the New Covenant of the eternall Gospell of peace, by which we receive the promise of the Spirit, who workes in us all grace to the mortifying of the Old man, subduing the rebellious flesh, casting downe of the strong holds of sinne and Satan, and bringing all thoughts in captivity to the obedience of Christ.

Thus as the world hath had more need of stronger helpes and powerfull meanes, God in his wife providence hath increased and supplied them in severall Ages; and as sinne hath more abounded, and stubburn-

nelle

nelle and hardnelle increased; so God hath more shewed his goodnetle, magnified his mercy, and enlarged his bounty, by giving more powerfull meanes, by renuing and explaining the Covenant of life and falvation, and making his Grace more to abound towards the fonnes of men. And therefore let us hereby be stirred up to take notice of Gods speciall providence, how he respecteth the sonnes of sinfull men, and is mindfull of them to visite them, and take care for them in all Ages: Let us admire his wisedome, extoll his goodnesse and mercy, and labour to bring forth abundance of fruit, according to the culture and tillage, and the powerfull meanes of Grace which God hath bestowed upon us under the Gospell.

Let us be ashamed and confounded in our selves, for our barrennesse after so many plentisull showers powred downe upon us; and acknowledge and consesse that we had long agone beene

over-

over-growne with all wickednesse. and swallowed up of our sinnes and iniquities, if the Lord had not by the frong hand of his glorious Gospell, and his mighty and powerfull Spirit shed forth plentifully through Iesus Christ in these last dayes, stopt the current of our finfull corruption, and Raid us from running headlong into destruction. As for them who in this great light of the Gospell, multiply their workes of darknelle, and maketheir sinnes and transgressions ascend up in great multitudes like thicke cloudes towards heaven, and doe hate and persecute the truth which thineth unto them, and love the darkneffe of errours more then the light of found doctrine; Let them know that their rebellion against the light deserves the reward of the mist and blacknesse of darknelle for ever; Let them feare and justly suspect that they are the ground which the Apostle speakes of, Hebr. 6. 8. which when it hath drunken in the raine which oft commeth upon it, doth bring forth no good

goodfruit, but thornes, briers, and poysonfull stinking weedes, and therefore is rejected, and is nigh unto cursing, whose end is to be burned. And just it is with God, that hee should send such persons strong delusions, that they should believe the lies of the man of sinne, and dote after errours and heresies, that they all may be damned who have not received the love of the truth that they might be saved; but have taken pleasure in unrighteous nesses, as the Apostle hath foretold, 2 Thess. 2.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the Law and the Gospell, and the agreement and difference betweenesthem.

Tow the last thing onely remaines, to wit, the description of the Law and the Gospell, and their agreement and difference. This may quickly be dispatched in tew words, for their agreement and difference may easily bee discerned by those things

things which have been already delivered; the onely thing which is now necessarily to be touched, is the meaning of the words, and the divers fignifications of them. These being made plaine, it will appeare that all the agreements and differences between them have been before fully

laid open and expounded.

First, for the Law, it is in the Originall Hebrew Scriptures called (Torab) a word derived of nnn Horab, which lignifieth to teach, to instruct, to admonish, and alfo to shoot forth Arrowes and Darts; and so if wee consider it according to the true notation of the name, by Law in Scripture may be understood any Doctrine, Word, or Writing which doth teach, instruct and admonife men how they ought to live, and how to walke before God, or among men, and any Precept which as a Dart or Arrow is fastened in our hearts by our Teachers.

But in the New Testament the Law is called rigues, and is derived of

the verb ware, which fignifies to distribute, because the Law injoyneth to distribute and give to God and men their due, and the revelation of the Word and Law is Gods distribution or dividing of his promifes and his will amongst men. So then the word Law, confidered according to the naturall sense of it in the Originall Scriptures of the Old and New Testament, may signific any Doctrine, Instruction, Law, Ordinance, Custome, and Statute humane or Divine, which doth teach, direct, command or binde men to any duty which they owe to God, or any of his creatures. And indeed thus far the fignification of it doth extend. For in Scripture it signifies, sometimes the speciall Lawes of Heathen Nations, as of the Medes & Perlians, and the flatures and customes of men according to which they live among themselves, and their doctrines and instructions; but I omit the humane fignifications of it, as not necessary for our present purpose; and I come to the divine which are divers in Scrip-Firft. ture.

1. First, this word (min Torah) fignifies, in a most large fense, any godly or profitable Counsell, Doetrine, Instruction, or Precept which Parents give to their children, or one man to another, either by word or writing, which is not contrary, but according to the will of God and therule of godlinetle, and serves to direct a man how to live or how to walke, either in his generall or particular cailing. Thus the word is often used in the Booke of the Proverbes, as Chap. 3.1 and 4. 2. and 7.2. In which places the wife man exhorts his sonne to keepe his Law, that is, all his Precepts, Counfels, and Doctrines, and not to forget or forfake them.

2 Sometimes it fignifies in a large sense, the whole Doctrine of the Word of God, which he hath at any time revealed, or doch reveale in the whole Scriptures, both of the Old and New Testament; and so it includes the Law of Moses, the writings of the Prophets, and all the Evangelicall promises made unto us in Christ from

from the beginning; thus it is used, Psal. 1.2. in these words, But his delight is in the Law of the Lord, and Psal. 19.7. The Law of the Lord is persect, converting the soule, that is, Gods Word, for the Law alone without the Gospell cannot convert soules, and Psal. 19. in divers places where the Law is said to quicken, and to be the godly mans delight, and to comfort him in trouble.

3 Sometimes this word signifies onely the Scriptures of the Old Testament, as Iohn 15, 25, where our Saviour citing a speech out of the 35 P salme 19 ver/e, saith it is written in the Law, that is, the Old Testament. And the Apostle, I Con. 14. 21. repeating the words of Isaiah, Chap 28.11. saith it is written in the Law.

4 Sometimes it signifies the whole Doctrine of the five Bookes of Moses, as losh. 1. 7, 8. Let not the Booke of the Law depart out of thy mouth, and Luke 24. 44. where our Saviour distinguisheth the Law, that is, the writings of Moses from the

Pfalmes

Pfalmes and the Prophets. Also Mai-12.5. Ich. 7.23. and Ich. 8. 17. things written in the Booke of Genesis as well as things written in the other 4. books are said to be writte in the law.

nifies in a more strict sense, The Doctrine of the Law, as it is different fro the doctrine of Grace, and is opposed to the plaine Doctrine of the Gospel; that is, the whole summe of Precepts, Morall, Geremoniall, and Judiciall, fer downe in the Writings of Moses; thus the word is used by the Apostle in the Epistles to the Romanes and Galatians, where hee opposeth the Law and Doctrine of Workes to the Gospell and Doctrine of Faith.

6 Sometimes by law in a most strict sense is meant, either the morall Law conteined in the ten Commandements, as Exod. 24. 12. or any of the Ceremoniall Lawes, as the Law of the burnt-offering, Levit. 6.9. the Law of Sacrifice, vers. 14. the Law of the sinne-offering, vers. 24. or the ludiciall Law, and any precept theros, as Exod. 18.16. Dent. 17.11.

7 Some

Jesus Sometimes the word Law signifies the Doctrine of the Gospell, which as a new Law commands us to repent of all our sins, and to bedeeve in Icsus Christ. Thus the word num is used, Isa. 2. 3. where the Prophet saith, That in the last dayes the Law shall go forth out of Zion, and the Word of the Lord from Ierusalem; meaning the publishing of the Gospell from thence into all Nations of the world; and the Gospell as it injoyneth us to believe, is called the Law of Faith, Rom. 3.27.

Sometimes the word Law signifies the power, authority, and dominion, either of the sless and the Old man of sin dwelling in our members; or of the Spirit and the New man ruling in the mind, wherethe A postle saith, I see another Law in my members, warring against the Law of my mind; that is, I see the power of sinfull corruption, and of the Old man striving against the Spirit, or part repued, and Rom. 8. 2. For the Law of the Spirit of life in Christ Iesus hath freed me from the law of snand death.

Rom.27

Thefe

These are the divers fignifications of the word Law, which is called Torab in the Old, and who in the New Testament.

The word Gospel is in the Hebrew text in the old Testament called now? Besserah, and in the new Testament Europsiano, they both signification they both signification they both signification they be did not the Hebrew verb (new Bisser) and the other of the Greek word in place, which both signification one thing, namely to tell good news, or bring glad tydings. For the Greek word E'varsino, it is diversly used in Scripture, and in other Greek Authors.

Sometimes for the reward which is given to one for bringing good newes, as 2 Sam. 4.10. where the reward which the man expected from Davia for the tydings of Sauls death is by the 70 called in flam, and in the Hebrew Bestorah. Sometime in heathen writers, the sacrifices which men offered up in thankfulnesse for good newes, as in Xenophon lib. 1.

Embrum, In Isocrates Areopag.

Sometimes

Sometimes it fignifies good newes in generall of what matter foever, as 2 Sam. 18.27. David faid of Ahimaaz, be is a good man, he bringeth good sydings, the word is in the Hebrew Bellorab, and in the Greeke Bur in the New Testament the word tourfair, doth alwayes fignifie the good tydings and joytull mellage of lesus Christ the Saviour of the world, and of Redemption by him, and so we alwayes use the word Gospell in our English tongue; And when the word Golpell is thus restrained unto the mellage, and tydings of Christ, I finde it three wayes used in Scripture and in our common fpeech.

Sometimes it is opposed to the Doctrine of the Law which teacheth to seeke life and salvation by our owne workes, and then it signifies the whole Doctrine of salvation by Iesus Christ, written in the Old and New Testament, and preached by all the ministers of Christ, unto the end of the world, as Rom. 1.9. God is my witness whom I serve with my Spirit,

in

where the promise of Christ to Abraham is called the Gospel, and Eph. 1.13 where the doctrine of beleeving and trusting in Christ is called the Gospel of salvation. In this large sense it includes all the promises of Christ in it which were made from the beginning to the sathers, before the Law, and by the prophets under the Law,

Sometimes it is opposed to all the promises of the old Testament made to the fathers before the Law, and by the prophets before the coming of Christ, and then it signifies that joyfull mellage, and word which is comprehended in the new Testament. which declares that Christ is already come in the flesh, and what he hath done for our redemption, and how we must be brought to communion of life, and salvation in him. Thus it is used, Mark. 1.14. where it is said, that lesus Christ preached in Galilee the Gospel of the Kingdome of God, and Mark. 16.15. Goe preach the Gospel to every creature. When the word

Word is thus taken, it differs, and is distinguished from the promises of Christ to come which are called impliate. It signifies Christ already come.

Sometimes this Word is restrained to the written History of Chist, from his Conception and Birth, to his Ascension, as it is recorded by the Evangelists in the New Testament; thus the word is used, Marke 1.1. Where the Evangelist beginning his holy History, saith; The beginning of the Gospell of Issus Christ; and thus we use the word, when wee speake of the Gospell of Saint Matthew, or of Luke, or of Lohn; meaning the History of Christ written by them.

Now having laide downe the true signification of these two words, Law and Gospell, and shewed the diversacceptions of them; It is easie to gather the agreement and differences which are between them. If we take the word Law in the most large sense, for the whole word of God, then it includes all the Gospell.

in it, and then they differ and agree as the whole body differs from, and agrees with a part of it selfe. The Law is the whole Word of God, and the Gospell a part of it. If wee take the Law for the Scriptures of the Old Testament, or for the writings of Mofes, then it includes in it a part of the Gospell; namely, the promises of the Messiah, and the doctrine of falvation in him to come. And from the other part of the Gospell, to wit, the glad tidings of Christ already come, it differs as the Old Testament from the New, and the mixt Covenant from the pure Covenant of Grace.

If we take the word Law, for the new Law the Gospell of Grace, then the new Law and the Gospell are all one and the same. But if wee take the Law for the doctrine of Commandments, Morall, Ceremoniall, and Iudiciall, it differs from the Gospell so farreas the first part of the Covenant of God given by Moses differs fro the pure Covenant of Grace. And lastly, if we by Law understand the

the Morall, then it differs from the Gospell, so farre as the first Covenant of Workes differs from the second Covenant of Grace.

Bur if we take the Gospell in the most common and usual sense for the glad tydings of Christ already exhibited, and for the whole Doctrine of the New Testament, and by Law doe understand (as the word commonly signifies) the Covenant which God made with Israel by Moses, and the pure Covenant of Grace made with all Nations, doe agree and differ betweene themselves.

Now the use of these Doctrines manifold,

First, they serve to set us in a more sure way to salvation, and also to guide and keepe us therein to the end, in that they shew us every turning, and every by-way, both on the right hand and on the lest, and how wee may avoyd them ail. Many are the errours which have beene raised up in the Church of Godfrom the first time of the publishing of the Gospell untill this day. In the time of the

Víc.



Apostles

apostles, some taught that the law was to be observed, together with the Gospell and the Ministery of Moses, with the Ministery of Christ, and that none could be justified or saved without circumcision and observation of the Lawes of Moses. Against them the Apostle disputes in the whole Epistleto the Galatians.

Some did utterly destroy the Law and all use of good works, & taught faith alone without works of sanctification at all. Against them the Apostle S. Iames disputes. Some utterly rejected the Old Testament, as the Manichæans in Old time, and now the Anabaptists. Some did set up their owne righteousnesse, as the Iewes, Rom. 10.3. and the Papists at this day.

Now if we rightly understand the doctrines before laid downe betweene the Old and New Covenant, the Law and the Gospell, wee shall easily discerne the wickednes of these errours, and shall see the right way to justification and Salvation. We shall so understand the Scriptures of the

Old

Old and New Testament, that wee shall out of them be able to answer all Heretiques and adversaries of the truth.

And therefore whatfoever fome thinke of this Discourse of the Old and New Testament; the first and the second Covenant, the Old and the New, mixt and pure Covenant of Grace; and concerning the Law and the Gospell: Yet I am sure that others of better judgement, who receive with due respect and reverence all holy doctrine, shall find infinite profit, benefit, and comfort, if they lay these things to heart, and keepe them in continuall remembrance, Which grace the Lord grant unto us all for his own mercies fake in Iefus Chrift, and to this small Worke of a weake Instrument give a blessing. To whose holy Name be all praise and glory now and for ever. Amen.

FINIS.







